

CS DJ 53/17

SATISH KUMAR Vs. RAGHUBIR SINGH BANJARA AND ORS

02.06.2026

Present: Sh. Azad Singh, counsel for the plaintiff with plaintiff.

None for the defendants.

Counsel for the plaintiff presses his application u/o 12 rule 6 CPC dated Nil supported by affidavit dated 22.10.2024.

Counsel for plaintiff argues that prayer in the suit, is for Permanent Injunction restraining defendants from dispossessing the plaintiff from suit property shown in Red colour in the site plan.

It is argued that in reply to para 10 of the written statement, there is an admission that defendants undertake not to dispossess the plaintiff from suit property shown in Green colour. Judgment on admission is prayed for.

Heard. File perused.

The prayer is qua Red portion in the site plan and admission is qua Green colour portion. No Judgment on admission is possible as there is no unequivocal and clear admission.

Application u/o 12 rule 6 CPC dated Nil supported by affidavit dated 22.10.2024, is accordingly dismissed.

Now, to come up on **24.09.2026 for DE by way of affidavit** with advance copy thereof to the opposite counsel at least one week prior to the next date of hearing.

Counsel for the defendants are at liberty to summon the defendant's witnesses as per law, on filing of PF. Diet money be paid on spot.

Advance copy of evidence affidavit be supplied to counsel opposite at least a week before the next date of hearing.

(VIKRAM BALI)
DISTRICT JUDGE-2/NORTH/ ROHINI
COURT/DELHI/02.06.2026