

12.01.2026

Present: Plaintiff with Ld. Counsel Sh. D.K. Malhotra.
Defendant with Ld. Counsel Sh. Yatendra Nagar.

It is noted that in previous ordersheet, inadvertently it has been recorded that plaintiff filed an application under Order VII Rule 11 of CPC instead of u/o VII Rule 14 CPC. The correction is made in previous order accordingly.

Ld. Counsel for defendant filed the affidavit of defendant qua admission and denial of documents and also filed a composite reply to the application u/o VII Rule 14 CPC and an application u/s 151 CPC for waiving of cost. Copies supplied.

Arguments heard on application u/o VII Rule 14 CPC. Case file perused.

Plaintiff wants to place on record her ITR and the document pertaining to her grievance against defendant which were filed in her office.

Defendant opposed the application stating that the document which plaintiff wants to place on record are not essential for just disposal of the case.

Plaintiff filed this suit for compensation alleging that he is harassing and defaming her by passing sexual comment and using abusive language against her and she has made various complaint against defendant.

It is admitted that plaintiff and defendant are working in the same office. The ITR is related to the plaintiff and the other document are those whose reference are given in the plaint hence, no prejudice would be caused to the defendant in case, the documents are taken on record as defendant has every opportunity to cross-examine the witness. Hence, the aforesaid application is allowed.

Further, I have heard on the application for waiving of cost of the plaintiff. Case file perused.

Plaintiff in her application for waiving of cost stated that she being desperate lady unable to pay the huge cost which is beyond her capacity. Defendant strongly opposed the application.

Admittedly, the ITR of assessment year 2022-2023 of plaintiff shows that her total income is Rs.16,88,600/-. It is pertinent to note that cost was imposed vide order dt. 18.09.2025 as repeated adjournment was sought for filing the replication and ultimately the same was filed on 14.11.2025. Hence, keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, the application for waiving of cost is dismissed.

Applications are disposed off accordingly.

Plaintiff already filed her affidavit for admission and denial of documents. Pleadings are stated to be complete. From the pleadings, following issues are hereby framed:-

ISSUES:-

1. Whether the defendant defamed the plaintiff as alleged in the plaint? OPP

2. If issue no.1 is decided in affirmative, whether the plaintiff is entitled for compensation of Rs.7,50,000/-? OPP.
3. Whether the plaintiff is entitled for injunction as prayed for? OPP
4. Relief.

No other issue arises or pressed.

List of witnesses be filed by both the parties within 15 days from today after supplying advance copy to the opposite party.

Let advance copy of evidence by way of affidavit be supplied to Ld. Counsel for the defendant atleast 15 days prior to the next date of hearing.

Put up for PE on 24.02.2026.

(Ravinder Singh-I)
District Judge-03
East District, KKD Courts,
Delhi, 12.01.2026