

CNR No. DLNT01-012159-2025
SC no. 6824/2025
STATE Vs. VIRAPAL alias VIRPAL
FIR No. 348/2025
PS: Bawana
U/s 103(1) BNS (302 IPC)

14.03.2026

File taken up today as 02.03.2026 was declared holiday in terms of notification No. 64/G-4/Genl.-I/DHC dated 27.02.2026 issued by Hon'ble High Court of Delhi.

Present : Sh. Girish Giri, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

Accused produced from J/C.

Sh. Brijesh Kumar Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the accused.

Ld. Counsel for accused submits that he concedes the charge against the said accused and has submitted that appropriate charges be framed against accused Virapal @ Virpal on the basis of material available on record.

Record perused.

It is a settled proposition of law that at the time of framing of charge, the Court is not required to marshal any evidence and only grave suspicion is to be seen and when grave suspicion arises, charge can be framed against the accused. Reliance can be placed upon *Alpana Dass v. CBI 2006 (90) DRJ 441* and in the case of *Union of India v. Prafulla Kumar Samal 1979 SSC (3) 609*.

Guided by the above Judgments, it can safely be observed that at the time of charge, the court does not have to

weigh the evidence collected by the prosecution on the scale, which would be applicable after the prosecution has led its evidence.

From the statement of complainant/ FIR and other material available on record prima facie case u/s 103(1) BNS (302 IPC) is made out against accused. Charge is accordingly framed against accused Virpal @ Virpal, to which he has pleaded not guilty and claimed trial.

Put up for for PE on **21.04.2026**. PWs mentioned at serial nos.1, 2 and 3 in the list of witnesses be summoned for the next date of hearing. IO and MHC(M) be also summoned for the next date.

(PREM KUMAR BARTHWAL)
Principal District & Sessions Judge
North District/Rohini Courts/Delhi
14.03.2026 (d)