

SC 272/2026
STATE Vs. ROHIT
FIR No. 540/2025
PS Bawana

U/s. 309(4)/311/317(2)/3(5) BNS

12.05.2026

File taken up today on an application U/s. 483 BNSS for grant of bail moved on behalf of applicant/accused **Sanju**.

Present: Sh. J. S. Malik, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.
Sh. S. P. Sharma & Sh. Ankit Sharma, Ld. Counsels for applicant/accused alongwith mother of applicant/accused.
SHO PS Bawana in person.

A report has been received from DCP Outer North District, Delhi. Same is taken on record. Copy of the same supplied to Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused.

Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused submits that applicant/accused is in JC since 17.09.2025 and he has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that applicant/accused has been erroneously named in the FIR based on false and baseless allegations due to some enmity and misconception. It is further submitted that investigation of the present case has already been completed and he is no more required for further custodial interrogation. It is further submitted that no fruitful purpose would be served to keep the applicant/accused behind the bars. It is further submitted that no application of applicant/accused is pending either before any other Court including Superior Courts. It is prayed that above said application may kindly be allowed.

Continued to page no. 2

SC 272/2026
STATE Vs. ROHIT
FIR No. 540/2025
PS Bawana
U/s. 309(4)/311/317(2)/3(5) BNS

On the other hand, Ld. Additional PP for State strongly opposed the bail application and submitted that allegations against the applicant/accused are serious in nature. It is prayed that the above said application may kindly be dismissed.

This court has given thoughtful consideration to the submissions made by Ld. counsel for applicant/accused and Ld. Additional PP for State and has also perused the record placed before the same.

Briefly stated, the present FIR bearing no. 540/2025 has been registered at PS Bawana U/s. 309(4)/311/317(2)/3(5) BNS. It has been alleged on behalf of applicant/accused **Sanju** that he was illegally detained in the PS much prior to the time when he was shown arrested by police officials in the present case. CCTV footage of the relevant date was called, however, it was reported that the CCTV Cameras were not working at relevant time which raises serious doubts on the prosecution story. Applicant/accused **Sanju** is in JC since 17.09.2025 i.e. for a period of about seven months. Investigation qua him has been completed. Chargesheet has been filed. Trial shall take time. Therefore it is ordered that applicant/accused Sanju be released on bail on his furnishing personal bond in the sum of **Rs. 20,000/- with one surety** in the like amount **subject to the satisfaction of following conditions:-**

Continued to page no. 3

SC 272/2026
STATE Vs. ROHIT
FIR No. 540/2025
PS Bawana

U/s. 309(4)/311/317(2)/3(5) BNS

- (i) Applicant/accused is directed not to threaten prosecution witnesses in any manner or tamper with evidence or indulge in any other criminal activity in future;
- (ii) Applicant/accused shall not commit similar offences in future. In case, it is prima facie brought to the notice of the Court that the applicant/accused is violating the said conditions, the bail granted to him shall be liable to be cancelled on an application of investigating agency in this regard.

The bail application is disposed of accordingly. Nothing stated herein shall tantamount to any expression of opinion on the merits of the case. Application stands disposed of. Bail application file be tagged with the main case file.

(Sushil Kumar)
Addl. Sessions Judge- 04
North District Rohini Courts Delhi
12.05.2026