

**CHARLOTTEELYALL
VS.
RAVINDERLYALL AND ORS.**

23.08.2023

Present: Mr. Dheeraj Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff.
Ms. Anajana, Ld. Counsel for the defendants along with
defendant no. 2 and 3 in person.

ORDER

1. Objections to the report of the Tehsildar, Defence Colony filed on behalf of the defendants. Same is taken on record. Copy supplied.
2. Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff submits that he does not wish to file reply to the same and has preferred to argue upon the objections straight away.
3. Arguments heard on the objections. A separate statement of the Dealing Assistant, SDM, Defence Colony is recorded.
4. Heard. Record perused.
5. In view of the separate statement of the Dealing Assistant, SDM, Defence Colony and report of the Tehsildar dated 03.07.2023, there is no doubt that the plaintiff is an indigent person. Ld. Counsel for the defendant has not placed on record any substantial evidence / document to show that the plaintiff is not an indigent person. Accordingly, the report of the Tehsildar, Defence Colony is accepted and the application of the plaintiff under Order 33 CPC is allowed.
6. Be put up for admission/denial and framing of issues on
06.11.2023.

**Shivali Bansal
ADJ-03, Rohini Courts
North District, Delhi
23.08.2023**