

**IN THE COURT OF THE CHIEF JUDGE: CITY CIVIL COURT:
HYDERABAD.**

Wednesday, the 26th day of November, 2025

**Present: Sri S.Sasidhar Reddy
Chief Judge,
City Civil Court.**

Tr.O.P.No.29 of 2025

Between:

1. Molugu Mukesh Chakravarthy,
S/o. Late Dr.M.Chandraiah,
Aged 43 years, Occ:Business.
2. Molugu Anusha, W/o. Molugu Mukesh Chakravarthy,
Aged 38 years, Occ:Housewife.

[Both are R/o.8-2-675/1/A, Plot No.16, Flat No.102, Road No.13,
Khairthabad, Hyderabad – 500034.] **..Petitioners**

And

M/s.Blackbuck Engineers Private Limited,
Rep., by its Authorized Signatory,
Anuradha Thota, W/o. Sayinedi Srinivas,
Aged 50 years, Occ:Business,
Plot No.8-2-293/82/A/1128,
North East Portion of the 3rd Floor,
Jubilee Square, Road Number 36,
Jubilee Hills, Hyderabad, Telangana – 500033. **.Respondents**

This petition came before me for final hearing in the presence of Sri K.R.K.Chary, Advocate for Petitioners and of Sri Mohd.Qutubuddin, Advocate for the Respondent; upon hearing and on perusing the material on record and the matter having stood over for consideration till this day, this Court passed the following:-

ORDER

1. This is an application filed under Section 24 of Civil Procedure Code, 1908.

2. The case of the petitioners is that, petitioners filed O.S.No.1342 of 2024 on the file of the XXII Junior Civil Judge, City Civil Court, Hyderabad against the respondent. Respondent filed O.S.No.5401 of 2023 on the file of the XXI Junior Civil Judge, against the petitioners. That, the suit schedule property in both the suits is the same, parties are the same, and therefore, the suits have to be tried by one Court.

3. Respondent appeared through an advocate, but did not file counter despite opportunity.

4. Heard learned counsel for petitioners.

5. Upon considering the material on record, the point for determination is:

Whether the suits have to be transferred?

6. **POINT:**

A perusal of the copies of the pleadings in the plaints filed along with the petition, it is seen that the contention of the petitioners is that respondent is their tenant. Petitioners filed O.S.No.1342 of 2024, seeking eviction of the respondent and recovery of possession, mesne profits and damages. Respondent filed O.S.No.5401 of 2023 against the petitioners seeking perpetual injunction. Therefore, the claim of one party will be their defence in the suit filed by the other party. Therefore, the contention that if the cases are tried by different Courts, conflicting findings may come is tenable. Therefore, they can be transferred.

7. In the result, the petition is allowed directing that O.S.No.1342 of 2024 on the file of the XXII Junior Civil Judge, City Civil Court, Hyderabad be transferred to the file of the XXI Junior Civil Judge, City Civil Court, Hyderabad. The XXII Junior Civil Judge, City Civil Court, Hyderabad, shall fix a date for appearance of parties before the XXI Junior Civil Judge, City Civil Court, Hyderabad and after giving due notice of the date to all the advocates appearing for the parties, have the file

transferred duly indexed to the XXI Junior Civil Judge, City Civil Court, Hyderabad.

Dictated to the Stenographer Grade - I, transcribed by him, partly typed, corrected and pronounced by me in the Open Court on this the 26th day of November, 2025.

Sd/-
CHIEF JUDGE
CITY CIVIL COURTS, HYDERABAD.

Copy to the:

1. XXI Junior Civil Judge, City Civil Court, Hyderabad.
2. XXII Junior Civil Judge, City Civil Court, Hyderabad.