

LAC - 112/22
Hari Om & Ors Vs. Union Of India & Anr.
(Prehladpur Banger)

06.09.2023

Present: Counsel for petitioner.

Counsel for R-1/UOI.

Counsel for R-2/DDA.

Sh. Rajbir Singh, Consultant, on behalf of DDA.

Sh. Shankar Raikwar, DEO from LAC office.

Heard. Perused.

Counsel for R-2/DDA submits that R-2/DDA adopts the WS filed by R-1/UOI.

Counsel for the petitioner does not want to file replication. Hence, the right of petitioner to file replication stands closed.

Statement u/s 19 LA Act has been admitted.
Pleadings are complete.

Following issues are framed from the pleadings of the parties:

1. Whether the petitioner is entitled to enhancement in compensation, if so, to what amount?
- 2) Relief.

No other issue arises or pressed.

Put up for PE on 21.02.2024.

(Deepak Dabas)
ADJ-1(North)/Rohini Courts
Delhi/ 06.09.2023