

CR Rev No. 173/2025  
SATISH KUMAR Vs. RADHEY SHYAM PARASHAR  
PS (Prashant Vihar)

**13.01.2026**

Present: Sh. S.P. Bansal, Ld. counsel for the revisionist.  
Sh. Arvind Vats and Ms. Yashika Arora, Ld. counsels  
for the respondent alongwith respondent in person.

Arguments heard on the maintainability of the present  
revision petition. Both the counsels have relied upon certain  
judgments in support of their submissions.

Put up for orders at 04.00 p.m.

**(VANDANA)**  
**Addl. Sessions Judge-02(North)**  
**Rohini Courts Delhi**  
**13.01.2026**

**at 04.00 p.m.**

Present: None

The only issue with respect to the maintainability of  
the revision petition is that as to whether the present court has got  
power to entertain the revision petition for enhancement of  
sentence.

The above said question was raised before the Hon'ble  
Madras High Court in **S. Balasubramanian Vs. The State of  
Tamil Nadu Rep on 19 April, 2012**, wherein, it has been held that  
the court of session has got power to entertain the revision for  
enhancement of sentence. Accordingly, the present revision  
petition held to be maintainable.

Respondent is at liberty to file reply to the above said

revision petition if any with direction to supply the copy of the same to the opposite party in advance at least 15 days prior to next date of hearing.

Put up for reply and arguments on 31.01.2026.

**(VANDANA)**  
**Addl. Sessions Judge-02(North)**  
**Rohini Courts Delhi**  
**13.01.2026**