

MACT 494/25

SEEMA RANI AND ORS (LRS OF MANJEET) Vs. SURESH  
KUMAR AND ORS

22.05.2026

Present: Shri Sumit Gupta, Ld. Counsel for petitioner through  
VC.  
None for driver cum owner.  
Shri Avdhesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for insurance  
company.

The driver and owner have not been participating in the proceedings in the matter. The Insurance Company has already filed its legal offer in the matter, however, the same is not acceptable to learned counsel for the L.Rs of deceased/petitioners.

Considering the fact that legal offer filed by Insurance Company is not acceptable to the L.Rs of deceased/petitioners as also taking into account the law laid down by Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in case reported as, **"2022 SCC Online SC 1769"**, titled as, **"Gohar Mohammed V/s Uttar Pradesh State Road Transport Corporation & Ors."** (DOD: 15.12.2022), the issue which remains to be decided in the matter is only qua **"quantum of compensation"** which needs to be paid to the L.Rs of deceased/petitioners. Accordingly, following issue is framed in the matter:

1. **How much is the quantum of compensation which needs to be awarded to the petitioners/L.Rs of deceased namely Manjeet, who suffered fatal injuries in road traffic accident on 09.04.2025, at about 7.30 PM, in front of Naitik Homes, Bakhtawar Pur, Hiranki Road, Village Kushak, Hiranki, Delhi, within the jurisdiction of PS Alipur, due to rashness**

and negligence on the part of the driver Suresh Kumar/R-1, who was driver cum owner and driving vehicle bearing registration No. DL-11CE 1373 and insured with TATA AIG General Insurance Company Ltd./R-2?

**2. Relief.**

List the matter for PE now on **08.09.2026**.

Let list of witnesses be filed within one week and affidavit(s) by way of evidence of the witness(es) of petitioner(s) be filed within one week thereafter with advance copy thereof to the other side. Steps for summoning of witnesses be taken well in advance.

(Richa Manchanda)  
Judge MACT-2 (North)  
Rohini Courts, Delhi/22.05.2026