

31.07.2025

Present: Sh. Babbal Bhai, Counsel for the plaintiff.
Sh. Kanwar Aditya Singh, Counsel for the defendant.

Arguments heard on the application u/o 7 Rule 11 CPC. The suit is for recovery between husband and wife. It is not touching any of the aspects of matrimonial discord so as to invite the jurisdiction of the Family Court. The allegations in the plaint are with regard to the fact that the plaintiff, being the husband, had advanced loans to the defendant to run her business. Accordingly, I am not convinced that the jurisdiction of Family Court is made out, whereby the application is **dismissed**.

Arguments heard on the application of the defendant u/o 8 Rule 1 CPC. Keeping in view the duration of the delay and the explanation forwarded in respect thereof, the delay is condoned. The Written statement is taken on record.

The pleadings of the contesting parties are complete whereby, the following issues are framed:

1. Whether the plaintiff is entitled to the recovery of Rs.10,91,628/-? OPP.
2. Whether the plaintiff is entitled to any interest on the aforesaid amount? if so, at what rate and for what period? OPP.
3. Relief.

No other issue arises or being pressed.

To come up for PE by way of affidavit on
06.12.2025.

The advance copy of the affidavit of the PW be supplied to the opposite party at least one week in advance before the NDOH.

(Sidharth Mathur)
District Judge-01(N)/Rohini
Courts Delhi/ 31.07.2025