

11 LAC 240/23  
YESHPAL SETHI Vs. UNION OF INDIA

30.09.2024

Present: Counsel for petitioner.  
Counsel for R-1/UOI.  
Counsel for R-2/DDA

Heard. Perused.

Counsel for R-1/UOI has filed WS. Copy supplied.

WS on behalf of R-2/DDA is already on record.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner does not want to file the replication. Accordingly, right of the petitioner to file the same stands closed. She submits that the petitioner admits the statement u/s 19 of LAC Act.

On the basis of the pleadings of the parties, the following issues are, hereby framed :

- 1 Whether the petitioner is entitled to enhancement to the compensation amount and if so, at what rate?  
OPP
- 2 Relief.

No further issue arises of pressed.

Put up for PE on **24.03.2025**.

(Deepak Dabas)  
District Judge(North)/Rohini  
Courts Delhi/ 30.09.2024