

02.09.2024

Present: Sh. R.S. Dalal, Counsel for petitioner.

None for R-1/UOI.

Counsel for R-2/DDA.

Sh. Rajbir Singh, Consultant, on behalf of DDA.

Sh. Mukesh Kumar, Patwari from LAC office.

Heard. Perused.

Counsel for R-2/DDA has filed the vakalatnama. It
be taken on record.

WS on behalf of R-2/DDA has been filed. Copy
supplied.

R-1/UOI has failed to file WS within stipulated
time. Hence, right of R-1/UOI to file WS stands closed.

Counsel for the petitioner does not want to file replication.
Hence, the right of petitioner to file replication stands closed.

Statement u/s 19 LA Act has been admitted.
Pleadings are complete.

Following issues are framed from the pleadings of the
parties:

1. Whether the petitioner is entitled to enhancement in
compensation, if so, to what amount?
- 2) Relief.

No other issue arises or pressed.

Put up for PE on 11.02.2025.

(Deepak Dabas)
District Judge(North)/Rohini
Courts Delhi/ 02.09.2024