

LAC 456/19
Dharam Singh (06/05-06) Vs. Union Of India & Anr.
(Pehaalad Pur)

26.11.2022

Present: Sh. M.C. Verma, counsel for petitioner.
Ld. counsel for R-1/UOI.
None for R-2/DDA.
Sh. Shankar Raikwar, DEO on behalf of LAC.

Heard. Perused.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner does not want to file the replication. Accordingly, the right of the petitioner to file the same stands closed. He submits that the petitioner admits the statement u/s 19 of LAC Act.

On the basis of the pleadings of the parties, the following issues are hereby framed:-

1. Whether the petitioner is entitled to enhancement to the compensation amount, and if so, at what rate? OPP
2. Relief.

No further issue arises or pressed.

Put up for PE on 28.03.2023.

(Deepak Dabas)
ADJ-1(North)/Rohini Courts
Delhi/26.11.2022