

LAC 217/23
RAMESH CHANDER VS. UNION OF INDIA

11.03.2025

Present: Counsel for petitioner with petitioner (Senior Citizen aged about 80 years).
Counsel for R-1/UOI.
Counsel for R-2/DDA.
Sh. Rajbir Singh, Consultant on behalf of DDA.
Sh. Mukesh Kumar, Patwari on behalf of LAC.

Heard. Perused.

WS on behalf of R-2/DDA is already on record.

R-1/UOI has failed to file WS within stipulated time.

Hence, **right of R-1/UOI to file WS stands closed.** It is pertinent to mention that summons/notice was served upon R-1/UOI on 22.08.2024.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner does not want to file the replication. Accordingly, the right of the petitioner to file the same stands closed. He submits that the petitioner admits the statement u/s 19 of LAC Act.

On the basis of the pleadings of the parties, the following issues are hereby framed:-

1. **Whether the petitioner is entitled to enhancement to the compensation amount, and if so, at what rate? OPP**
2. **Relief.**

No further issue arises or pressed.

Put up for PE on 18.03.2025.

(Deepak Dabas)
DJ-1(North)/Rohini Courts
Delhi/11.03.2025