

LAC 223/21

SATISH KUMAR (06/05-06) Vs. UNION OF INDIA AND
OTHERS(PRAHLADPUR BANGER)

26.02.2024

Present: Counsel for petitioner.
Counsel for R-1/UIO.
Counsel for R-2/DDA.
Sh. Rajbir Singh, Consultant on behalf of DDA.
Sh. Mukesh Kumar, Patwari on behalf of LAC.

Heard. Perused.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner does not want to file the replication. Accordingly, the right of the petitioner to file the same stands closed. He submits that the petitioner admits the statement u/s 19 of LAC Act.

On the basis of the pleadings of the parties, the following issues are hereby framed:-

1. **Whether the petitioner is entitled to enhancement to the compensation amount, and if so, at what rate? OPP**
2. **Relief.**

No further issue arises or pressed.

Put up for PE on 03.09.2024.

(Deepak Dabas)
ADJ-1(North)/Rohini Courts
Delhi/26.02.2024