

SC 389/2024
STATE Vs. MANJEET
FIR No. 240/2024
PS Alipur
U/s. 498A/304B/302/34 IPC

02.02.2026

File taken up today on an application U/s. 483 BNSS for grant of bail moved on behalf of applicant/accused **Bhim Singh**.

Present: Ms. Monika Aggarwal, Ld. Substitute Addl. PP for the State.
Complainant in person.
Sh. S. K. Sharma, Ld Counsel for applicant/accused appearing **through VC**.

Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused submits that applicant/accused is in JC since 25.03.2024 and he has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that the entire case of the prosecution is false and manipulated as none of the witness claimed the involvement of the present accused in the alleged offence. It is further submitted that all there are 28 witnesses cited by the prosecution and even FSL report has not been received till date and thus, there is no possibility of trial to conclude in the near future. It is further submitted that entire case of the prosecution is false and manipulated as none of the witness claimed the involvement of the present accused in the alleged offence. It is further submitted that investigation is already complete and charge-sheet has been filed. It is further submitted that no useful purpose shall be achieved by keeping the applicant/accused in custody except for

Continued to page no. 2

SC 389/2024
STATE Vs. MANJEET
FIR No. 240/2024
PS Alipur
U/s. 498A/304B/302/34 IPC

punishing him without the conclusion of the trial. It is prayed that above said application may kindly be allowed.

On the other hand, Ld. Additional PP for State strongly opposed the bail application and submitted that allegations against the applicant/accused are serious in nature. It is prayed that the above said application may kindly be dismissed.

This court has given thoughtful consideration to the submissions made by Ld. counsel for applicant/accused and Ld. Additional PP for State and has also perused the record placed before the same.

Perusal of the record shows that vide order dated 14.11.2024 charges for the offences punishable U/s. 302/304B/498A/34 IPC have been framed against applicant /accused **Bhim Singh** and his co-accused persons. Perusal of the record shows that there is only one eye witness namely Master Ketan, whose statement U/s. 164 Cr. P.C. has been got recorded by IO before Ld. M.M., North District, Rohini Courts, Delhi. Perusal of the statement of Master Ketan U/. 164 Cr. P.C. shows that his father (accused Manjeet) had cut throat of his mother (deceased Arti) and that applicant/accused **Bhim Singh** alongwith other co-accused persons were present over there. The present application has been moved on behalf of applicant/accused **Bhim**

SC 389/2024
STATE Vs. MANJEET
FIR No. 240/2024
PS Alipur
U/s. 498A/304B/302/34 IPC

Singh on the ground of parity with his co-accused person Meena Singh, who was enlarged on bail being a woman and suffering from various old age ailments. It is also important to mention that bail cannot be granted only on the basis of parity. Further, testimony of Master Ketan is yet to be recorded. Possibility of influencing the minor child cannot be ruled out, if applicant/accused **Bhim Singh** is enlarge on bail.

Keeping in view of the above discussion and also considering the severity and the gravity of offence as well as seriousness of allegations, the present bail application of applicant/accused **Bhim Singh** is hereby dismissed.

Nothing stated herein shall tantamount to any expression of opinion the merits of the case. Copy of order be given dasti to the concerned parties. The present bail application file be tagged with the main case file.

(Sushil Kumar)
Addl. Sessions Judge- 04
North District Rohini Courts Delhi
02.02.2026