

SC 389/2024
STATE Vs. MANJEET
FIR No. 240/2024
PS Alipur
U/s. 498A/304B/302/34 IPC

05.12.2025

File taken up today on an application U/s. 483 BNSS for grant of bail moved on behalf of applicant/accused **Manish**.

Present: Sh. Sanjay Jindal, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused.

Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused submits that applicant/accused is in JC since 25.03.2024 and he has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that at the time of incident, applicant/accused was working at Balaji Hospital, Delhi in housekeeping and was residing on the ground floor of the house alongwith his parents. It is further submitted that just after few months of the marriage, the victim, alongwith her husband, started living separately on the first floor of the property with a separate kitchen and both children were born thereafter. It is further submitted that victim and her husband were living separately and the applicant/accused had sometimes Night Duty or Duty on late hours, the applicant/accused had hardly any interaction with the victim, and the applicant has been falsely implicated in this case by the police, though the allegations in the entire FIR are vague and general against the applicant/accused. It is further submitted that at the time of the incident, the applicant/accused and his parents were sleeping on the ground floor of the house. It is further submitted that present

Continued to page no. 2

SC 389/2024
STATE Vs. MANJEET
FIR No. 240/2024
PS Alipur
U/s. 498A/304B/302/34 IPC

case is at the stage of evidence and trial will take a long time. It is further submitted that in the present case co-accused has already been granted bail. It is prayed that above said application may kindly be allowed on the ground of parity.

On the other hand, Ld. Additional PP for State strongly opposed the bail application and submitted that allegations against the applicant/accused are serious in nature. It is prayed that the above said application may kindly be dismissed.

This court has given thoughtful consideration to the submissions made by Ld. counsel for applicant/accused and Ld. Additional PP for State and has also perused the record placed before the same.

Perusal of the record shows that vide order dated 14.11.2024 charges for the offences punishable U/s. 302/304B/498A/34 IPC have been framed against applicant /accused **Manish** and his co-accused persons. The allegations against applicant/accused **Manish** are that he alongwith his co-accused persons, in furtherance of their common intention, committed murder of deceased Arti(deceased) by causing stab injuries on her neck etc. with sharp edge weapon. It is not in dispute that the stab injuries were caused by co-accused Manjeet.

Continued to page no. 3

SC 389/2024
STATE Vs. MANJEET
FIR No. 240/2024
PS Alipur
U/s. 498A/304B/302/34 IPC

However, it cannot be overlooked that in the statement U/s. 164 Cr.P.C. of Master 'K' (son of deceased and co-accused Manjeet) has stated that applicant/accused was present when co-accused Manjeet (son of applicant/accused Bhim Singh) caused injuries to the deceased. Further, the present applicant/accused **Manish** cannot be treated on parity with co-accused Meena Singh. Trial of the present case is at initial stage. Releasing applicant/accused may cause threat to material witnesses including minor child.

Keeping in view of seriousness of allegations leveled against applicant/accused **Manish** and gravity of offences alleged against him, the **present bail application of applicant/accused is hereby dismissed.**

Nothing stated herein shall tantamount to any expression of opinion the merits of the case. **Copy of order be given dasti to the applicant/accused.** The present bail application along with the proceedings be tagged with the main case file.

(Sushil Kumar)
Addl. Sessions Judge- 04
North District Rohini Courts Delhi
05.12.2025