

**IN THE COURT OF MS. NISHA SAHAY SAXENA
PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE
NORTH WEST DISTRICT : ROHINI COURTS: DELHI**

State vs. Avinash Kumar @ Langra etc
(Sessions Case No. 689/2021)
CNR No. DLNT01-009246-2021
FIR No. 571/2020
Police Station : S.P. Badli
Under Section : 186/353/308/34 IPC

18.12.2025

ORDER ON SENTENCE

1. Accused Avinash Kumar @ Langra, Rajnish @ Lala, Arjun Sahani, Manoj Patel and Ravi Ranjan @ Mausam have been convicted for the offences punishable under Section 186/353/308 IPC read with Section 34 IPC vide judgment dated 17.12.2025.

2. I have heard arguments on the point of sentence as advanced by Ld. Addl. PP for the State and Sh. R.S. Yadav, Ld. counsel for convicts.

3. It has been submitted that all the convicts are of young age ranging between 28 to 35 years and are having family responsibilities. They belong to poor strata of society and are hardly able to make ends meet from their meager income.

It is submitted that convict Avinash Kumar @ Langra is a physically challenged, having disability up to 70%, and he remained in

JC for about 6 months during investigation and trial. While other accused were granted anticipatory bail.

It is submitted that convict Ravi Ranjan @ Mausam is going to be married soon and in his family, he has his aged parents, his father being 65 years of age and mother being 60 years of age. Convict Arjun is married and his family comprises of his wife and four daughters aged about 7 years, 10 years, 13 years and 15 years respectively.

It is further submitted that convict Manoj is the sole bread earner in his family comprising of his wife, two daughters aged about 3 years and 4 years and one son aged about 1 year. It is submitted that there is no previous involvement of convict Manoj and Ravi Ranjan, while convict Arjun Sahani is running in JC in case FIR No. 202/25, PS Swaroop Nagar. Convict Rajnish @ Lala and Avinash @ Langra have though been implicated in cases under Excise Act, but they have not been convicted in any other case.

A lenient view is prayed for on behalf of convict.

4. Ld. Addl. Public Prosecutor submitted that allegations against the convicts are serious in nature and any leniency would send a wrong message to the society.

5. Convicts have been convicted for the offence punishable u/s 186/353/308/34 IPC. In the the present case, the convicts not only obstructed the public servants from discharging their duties but also caused injuries on the person of Ct. Ravi Kant (complainant), who was

discharging his duties as a public servant. The prosecution has successfully established its case beyond reasonable doubt. Giving due consideration to the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of offence and the circumstances of the convicts, I sentence them as under:-

For the offence punishable under Section 186 IPC r/w section 34 IPC, convicts Avinash Kumar @ Langra, Rajnish @ Lala, Arjun Sahani, Manoj Patel and Ravi Ranjan @ Mausam are sentenced to rigorous imprisonment for three months each and a fine of Rs. 500/- each. In default of payment of fine the erring convict shall undergo further simple imprisonment for seven days.

For the offence punishable under Section 353 IPC r/w section 34 IPC, convicts Avinash Kumar @ Langra, Rajnish @ Lala, Arjun Sahani, Manoj Patel and Ravi Ranjan @ Mausam are sentenced to rigorous imprisonment for two years each and a fine of Rs. 2,000/- each. In default of payment of fine the erring convict shall undergo further simple imprisonment for one month.

For the offence punishable under Section 308 IPC r/w section 34 IPC, convicts Avinash Kumar @ Langra, Rajnish @ Lala, Arjun Sahani, Manoj Patel and Ravi Ranjan @ Mausam are sentenced to rigorous imprisonment for two years each and a fine of Rs. 2,0000/- each. In default of payment of fine the erring convict shall undergo further simple imprisonment for three months.

All the sentences shall run concurrently.

6. Benefit of Section 428 Cr.P.C be given to the convicts.
7. Copy of the Judgment and Order on Sentence be supplied to the convicts free of cost.
8. Convicts are informed of their right to prefer an appeal against the judgment and Order on Sentence and legal aid. They have been apprised that if they cannot afford to engage an Advocate, they can approach the Legal Aid Cell, Tihar Jail or write to Secretary, Delhi High Court, Legal Services Committee, 34-37, Lawyer Chamber Block, High Court of Delhi.

**Announced in the open court
today i.e. on 18th day of December, 2025**

**(NISHA SAHAY SAXENA)
Principal District & Sessions Judge (N-W)
Rohini Courts, Delhi.**

Previously :

**Principal District & Sessions Judge (North)
Rohini Courts, Delhi.**