

MHCC020093682019



**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS, DESIGNATED AS SPECIAL COURT
UNDER THE PML ACT, 2002, GR. BOMBAY
ORDER BELOW EXH.376
IN
PMLA SPL. CASE NO. 5 OF 2019**

Ripusudan @ Raj Kundra

Aged about 44 Years,

Occupation- Business,

Residing at Kinara Building,

Gandhigram Road, Near Sunny Studio,

Juhu, Mumbai 400 049.

...Applicant/Accused (17)

Versus

Directorate of Enforcement

... Complainant

Appearance:

Ld. Advocate Ld. Advocate Harshada Parbhane h/f Ld. Advocate Prashant Patil for Applicant/Accused No.17.

Ld. Spl. P.P. Mrs. Kavita Patil for ED, Mumbai

**CORAM : R.B. ROTE,
ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE
DESIGNATED AS SPECIAL COURT
UNDER THE PML ACT, 2002.
(C.R.No.16)**

DATE : 02ND JUNE, 2026

ORDER BELOW EXH.376

1. This application is filed by Applicant/Accused No.17 Ripusudan @ Raj Kundra seeking permission to travel abroad for a period as under-

[i] From 07/06/2026 to 10/06/2026 – Hongkong;

[ii] From 15/06/2026 to 20/06/2026- Dubai;

- [iii] From 22/06/2026 to 08/07/2026 - Tokyo and LA;
- [iv] From 11/07/2026 to 19/07/2026 – Toronto;
- [v] From 23/07/2026 to 27/07/2026 - Malbourne;

2. Ld. SPP has given say on the application and has strongly objected for grant of permission to travel abroad. It is submitted that there is no any urgency or requirement to travel as the intended time is already lapsed. If accused is allowed to travel without any justifying reason, there is every possibility of his absconding and jumping bail condition. Hence, prayed for rejection of the application.

3. Heard both parties. Perused the record. According to applicant, he was released on bail under section 91 of BNSS by order dated 20/02/2026. He wanted to travel abroad for business purpose. He is ready to obey the orders of the Court. It is informed that the LOC issued against the applicant has been cancelled and the information was given by the EOW vide letter dated 20/05/2026. There is nothing on record to show that the applicant has misused the liberty granted to him. The right to travel abroad is an aspect of fundamental right guaranteed under the Constitution of India. No prejudice will be caused, if the applicant is permitted to travel abroad as prayed subject to the permissibility of international air flight in current situation. So far as objection of Spl.PP is concerned, same can be dealt with by imposing certain conditions. Considering nature of application and purpose of travel, coupled with the fact that it will take time for trial to commence, the applicant is entitled to travel abroad on certain conditions. Hence, I proceed to pass the following order:

ORDER

1. Application (Exh.376) is allowed.
2. The applicant/accused No.17 Ripusudan @ Raj Kundra is permitted to travel abroad as under-
 - [i] From 07/06/2026 to 10/06/2026 – Hongkong;
 - [ii] From 15/06/2026 to 20/06/2026- Dubai;
 - [iii] From 22/06/2026 to 08/07/2026 - Tokyo and LA;
 - [iv] From 11/07/2026 to 19/07/2026 – Toronto;
 - [v] From 23/07/2026 to 27/07/2026 - Malbourne;
3. The applicant/accused No.17 shall furnish an itinerary of his travel with addresses of his residence mentioned above, his phone numbers, which are reachable and working E-mail ID to the Investigating Officer before leaving India.
4. The Applicant/proposed Accused No.17 is directed to deposit Rs.1 Lakh (Rupees One Lakh) as a security in this Court for his proposed travel.
5. The moment the applicant arrives India, he shall report the Investigating Officer and shall also report the compliance to this Court without fail.
6. Respondent-ED to note that, if any activity on the part of the applicant as apprehended by them, is noticed, it should be immediately informed to the Court.
7. In case ED requires presence of the applicant, he should undertake to attend the ED immediately without making any excuse.

8. The applicant shall undertake to return to India forthwith if the trial achieves the stage of framing charge and no reason will be entertained in that event.

Dt.: 02.06.2026

(R.B.Rote)
Additional Sessions Judge
Designated as Special Court under
the PML Act, 2002
City Civil & Sessions Court, Mumbai

Directly typed on : 02/06/2026
Signed on : 02/06/2026

“CERTIFIED TO BE TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE ORIGINAL SIGNED ORDER”	
02/06/2026 UPLOAD DATE AND TIME	(MRUNAL S. PENDKHALKAR) NAME OF STENOGRAPHER
Name of the Judge	H.H. THE ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE R.B.ROTE (COURT ROOM NO.16)
Date of pronouncement of order	02/06/2026
Order signed by P.O. on	02/06/2026
Order uploaded on	02/06/2026