

MACT 535/19
PINKI KUMARI Vs. VIMLESH KUMAR

13.02.2025

Present: Shri A. K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for petitioner.
None for driver and owner.
Shri V. K. Gupta, Ld. Counsel for insurance company.

Matter is at the stage of RE.

The witness is not present.

Fresh notice be issued to witness/concerned SHO who forwarded the chargesheet in the present matter, to appear and depose regarding the discrepancy in the name of accused as mentioned in the chargesheet as Mithlesh whereas in other documents the name of driver/accused is mentioned as Vimlesh. The said notice be served through concerned SSP as it is seen that despite service of number of notices upon the IO Sanjeev, it has been reported that he was not the IO in the said case. On the other hand, no details of the IO has been furnished till date nor any witness has appeared to clarify the aforesaid discrepancy in the chargesheet due to the aforesaid non appearance of the IO, much delay has already been caused. **Issue notice to IO SI Sanjeev Yadav, PS Sikandrabad, District Bulandshahar, UP on filing of PF for NDOH.**

Copy of the order and copy of chargesheet be attached alongwith the notice for compliance.

Put up for RE on **21.03.2025**.

(Richa Manchanda)
Judge MACT-2 (North)
Rohini Courts, Delhi/13.02.2025