

LAC 194/23
SHASHI GARG Vs. UNION OF INDIA

02.07.2024

Present: Sh. Shiv Kumar, Sanjeev Kumar, Praveen Kumar,
Dilip Kumar, A.K. Narula, Kavita Bansal, Amit
Khatri and Sh. Tarun, Counsel(s) for petitioner.
None for R-1/UOI.
Counsel for R-2/DSIIDC.
Sh. Mukesh Kumar, Patwari from LAC office
(through VC).
Heard. Perused.
Counsel for R-2/DSIIDC has filed WS. Copy
supplied.

R-1/UOI has failed to file WS within stipulated
time. Hence, right of R-1/UOI to file WS stands closed.

Counsel for the petitioner does not want to file replication.
Hence, the right of petitioner to file replication stands closed.

Statement u/s 19 LA Act has been admitted. Pleadings are
complete.

Following issues are framed from the pleadings of the
parties:

1. Whether the petitioner is entitled to enhancement in
compensation, if so, to what amount?
- 2) Relief.

No other issue arises or pressed.

Put up for PE on 16.12.2024.

(Deepak Dabas)
District Judge(North)/Rohini
Courts Delhi/ 02.07.2024