

26 CS DJ 458/24 Rakesh Julka Vs. M/S KEIL (KULDIP
ENTERPRISES INDIA LTD)

03.06.2026

Present: Sh. Kaushal Aggarwal, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff
Sh. Shwetank Sharma, Ld. Counsel for defendant

1. WS along with application u/o 8 Rule 1 CPC filed seeking condonation of delay in filing the WS. Copy supplied to opposite party
2. It is pointed by the Ld. Counsel for plaintiff that the application u/o 8 Rule 1 CPC does not appropriately refer to the delay of days. It is further submitted that the delay is more than 300 days. To this, Ld. Counsel for defendant submits that he will move an appropriate application afresh.
3. Accordingly allowed.
4. Ld. Counsel for plaintiff has pointed out that certain pages annexed with the documents in the WS are not legible. On perusal of those documents, it appears that the documents are not legible.
5. Thus, defendant is directed to provide legible typed copies to the plaintiff as well as those documents be filed on record also.
6. Put up for further proceedings on **18.08.2026**

(Akbar Siddique)
DJ-04, North, Rohini Courts,
Delhi/03.06.2026