

LAC192/23  
LAKHI RAM Vs. UNION OF INDIA

02.07.2024

Present: Sh. M.C. Verma, Sanjeev Kumar, Praveen Kumar,  
Dilip Kumar, A.K. Narula, Kavita Bansal, Amit  
Khatri and Sh. Tarun, Counsel(s) for petitioner.  
Counsel for R-1/UOI.  
Counsel for R-2/DDA.  
Sh. Rajbir Singh, Consultant, on behalf of DDA.  
Sh. Mukesh Kumar, Patwari from LAC office  
(through VC).  
Heard. Perused.

WS on behalf of R-2/DDA is already on record.

Copy supplied.

Counsel for R-1/UOI has filed WS. Copy supplied.

On oral request, R-2/LAC is deleted from the array  
of parties.

Counsel for the petitioner does not want to file  
replication. Hence, the right of petitioner to file replication  
stands closed.

Statement u/s 19 LA Act has been admitted.

Pleadings are complete.

Following issues are framed from the pleadings of  
the parties:

1. Whether the petitioner is entitled to enhancement in  
compensation, if so, to what amount?
- 2) Relief.

No other issue arises or pressed.

Put up for PE on 16.12.2024.

(Deepak Dabas)  
District Judge(North)/Rohini  
Courts Delhi/ 02.07.2024