

13.12.2022

Present: Sh. M.C. Verma, counsel for the petitioner.
Ld. counsel for UOI/R-1.
Ld. counsel for R-2/DDA.
Sh. Karan Singh, Naib Tehsildar on behalf of DDA
Sh. Shankar Raikwar, DEO from LAC office.
Heard. Perused.

Arguments heard on the application u/o 22 Rule 3 CPC.

Perusal of the record reveals that the details of the LRs of the petitioners as mentioned in the SMC are same as mentioned in para 2 of the application. As per record, the right to sue survives in favour of LRs of deceased petitioners. Accordingly, the applications are allowed.

Amended memo already filed be taken on record.

Heard. Perused.

WS on behalf of respondents is already on record.

Counsel for the petitioner does not want to file replication. Hence, the right of petitioner to file replication stands closed.

Statement u/s 19 LA Act has been admitted. Pleadings are complete.

Following issues are framed from the pleadings of the parties:

1. Whether the petitioner is entitled to enhancement in compensation, if so, to what amount?
- 2) Relief.

No other issue arises or pressed.

Put up for PE on 24.04.2023.

(Deepak Dabas)
ADJ-1(North)/Rohini Courts
Delhi/13.12.2022