

LAC 160/23

RAM KUMAR Vs. UNION OF INDIA (06/05-06, PEHAALAD
PURBANGER)

19.03.2024

Present: Counsel for petitioner.
Counsel for R-1/UOI.
Counsel for R-2/DDA.
Sh. Rajbir Singh, Consultant on behalf of DDA.
Sh. Mukesh Kumar, Patwari on behalf of LAC.

Heard. Perused.

Written statement on behalf of R-1/UOI is already
on record.

Counsel for R-1/UOI has filed BTF.

Counsel for R-2/DDA has filed WS. Copy supplied.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner
does not want to file the replication. Accordingly, the right of the
petitioner to file the same stands closed. He submits that the
petitioner admits the statement u/s 19 of LAC Act.

On the basis of the pleadings of the parties, the
following issues are hereby framed:-

1. **Whether the petitioner is entitled to enhancement
to the compensation amount, and if so, at what
rate? OPP**
2. **Relief.**

No further issue arises or pressed.

Put up for PE on 28.10.2024.

(Deepak Dabas)
ADJ-1(North)/Rohini Courts
Delhi/19.03.2024