

LAC 44/20

RAMESHWAR DASS Vs. UNION OF INDIA AND ORS

18.04.2023

Present: Counsel for petitioner.
Counsel for R-1/UOI.
None for R-2/DDA.
Sh. Rajbir Singh, Consultant on behalf of DDA.
Sh. Shankar Raikwar, DEO on behalf of LAC.

Heard. Perused.

R-2/DDA has failed to file WS within stipulated time. Hence, **right of R-2/DDA to file WS stands closed.**

It is pertinent to mention that summons/notice was served upon R-2/DDA on 17.03.2021 and vakalatnama was filed on behalf of R-2/DDA on 13.04.2022.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner does not want to file the replication. Accordingly, the right of the petitioner to file the same stands closed. He submits that the petitioner admits the statement u/s 19 of LAC Act.

On the basis of the pleadings of the parties, the following issues are hereby framed:-

1. **Whether the petitioner is entitled to enhancement to the compensation amount, and if so, at what rate? OPP**
2. **Relief.**

No further issue arises or pressed.

Put up for PE on 29.08.2023.

(Deepak Dabas)
ADJ-1(North)/Rohini Courts
Delhi/18.04.2023