

13.02.2025

Present: Ms. Yashika Arora, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff along
with plaintiff in person.
Sh. Neeraj Bansal, Ld. Counsel for all the
defendants.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel for plaintiff that since they have not been provided with copy of written statement filed on behalf of defendant no.4 and 5, therefore, they could not file the replication. However, this fact is controverted by Ld. Counsel for the defendant who states that copy of written statement had been supplied to Ld. Counsel for plaintiff.

Heard.

To cut short the controversy, Ld. Counsel for defendant states that today he shall supply the copy of written statement filed on behalf of defendant no.4 and 5 to Ld. Counsel for plaintiff.

Let replication, if any, be filed to the written statement of defendant no.4 and 5 with advance copy to Ld. Counsel for defendants.

Reply to the application under Order VII Rule 11 CPC is filed on behalf of the plaintiff. Copy supplied.

Put up the case for filing replication, as aforesaid, as well as for arguments on application under Order VII Rule 11 CPC, on 02.04.2025.

(Kishor Kumar)
DJ-04, North, Rohini Courts,
Delhi/13.02.2025