

LAC - 340/19

Ajit Singh Vs. Union Bank Of India (Pehaalad Pur)

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing via Cisco Webex.

04-03-2021

Present: Sh. M.C. Verma, counsel for the petitioner.

Sh. P.B. Sahu, Counsel for UOI/R-1.

None for DDA/R-3.

Counsel for petitioner makes an oral prayer that R-2/LAC may be deleted from the array of the parties. Request is not opposed by counsel for R-1. Accordingly, R-2/LAC is deleted from the array of the parties. Amended memo of parties be filed within 15 days from today.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that he admits the Statement u/s 19 LA Act. Pleadings are complete.

Following issues are framed from the pleadings of the parties:

1. Whether the petitioner is entitled to enhancement in compensation, if so, to what amount?

2) Relief.

No other issue arises or pressed.

Put up for PE on 16-08-2021.

(Pankaj Gupta)

ADJ-1(North)/Delhi/04-03-2021