

IN THE COURT OF THE VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE,
VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT AT SRIVILLIPUTTUR.

Present: **Thiru.T.V. Mani, B.A., L.L.B.,**
Additional District and Sessions Judge, Srivilliputtur.
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Virudhunagar District at Srivilliputtur.

Dated this the 07th day of May 2026, Thursday.

Cr.M.P. No.1207/2026

1. Velsamy (A1)
2. Manimala (A2)
3. Velammal (A3)
4. Kandasamy (A4)
5. Eswari Bose (A5)
6. Kali (A7)

... Petitioners/Accused.

/ Vs /

State through the Inspector of Police,
Watrap P.S.

Cr.No.120/2026

U/s. 191(2), 296(b), 115(2) and 351(2) of BNS

... Respondent/ Complainant.

This petition coming on this day before me for hearing in the presence of Thiru.V.Alagirisamy, Advocate for the petitioners and Thiru.S.Thirumalaiappan, Public Prosecutor for the respondent and upon hearing the argument of both sides, this court made the following

ORDER

It is an application for anticipatory bail u/s.482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS)

FIR was registered against the petitioners/A1 to A5 and A7 for the offences u/s.191(2), 296(b), 115(2) and 351(2) of BNS. The occurrence happened on 23-04-2026.

As per FIR, it is seen that the defacto complainant's father was allegedly having an illegal relationship with A1's wife, Manimala, for the past two years. In continuation of the same, on 23.04.2026 at about 4.00 p.m., the defacto complainant's father went to the house of Manimala and demanded return of a gold ring weighing about half sovereign, which had allegedly been given earlier to her. At that time, the petitioners and other accused are alleged to have abused him in filthy language, assaulted him with hands and kicked him with legs,

thereby causing injuries. Subsequently, he was given first-aid treatment and later referred to the Government Rajaji Hospital, Madurai, for further treatment. Hence, this case.

According to the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners are innocent and they have not committed any such offence and prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. On the other hand, the learned Public Prosecutor contended that if the petitioners are granted anticipatory bail they would commit similar types of offences again and hence he objected to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Heard both sides. Records perused. On perusal of the records, it is seen that the petitioners and other accused are alleged to have abused the defacto complainant's father in filthy language, assaulted him with hands and kicked him with legs, thereby causing injuries. Subsequently, he was referred to the Government Rajaji Hospital, Madurai, for further treatment and had undergone inpatient treatment for six days. The occurrence is stated to have taken place on 23.04.2026 and the FIR came to be registered on 25.04.2026. It is further alleged that seven accused persons, including A6, a minor accused, had participated in the assault on the defacto complainant's father. Though the injured was subsequently discharged from the hospital, the fact remains that he had undergone six days of inpatient treatment. Considering the nature of injuries sustained by the defacto complainant's father and the specific overt acts attributed against the accused persons, this Court is of the view that it is not a fit case for granting anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Hence, the anticipatory bail petition deserves to be dismissed.

In the result, the petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in the Open Court on this the 07th day of May 2026.

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Virudhunagar District at
Srivilliputtur.

To

The Inspector of Police, Watrap P.S.