

SC 460/2021
STATE Vs. RAJU ETC
FIR No. 596/2021
PS Jahangir Puri
U/s.302/34 IPC

21.08.2026

File taken up today on an application U/s. 483 BNSS for grant of bail moved on behalf of applicant/accused **Shankar**.

Present: Sh. J. S. Malik, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.
Sh. Javed, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused.

Reply to the above said application has been filed by IO. Same is taken on record. Copy of the same supplied to Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused.

As per reply of IO, present case FIR No. 596/2021 has been registered for the offences U/s. 302/34 IPC PS Jahangir Puri. Further, applicant/accused **Shankar** alongwith his co-accused committed the murder of Rohit with gun shot injury.

Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused submits that applicant/accused is in JC since 22.06.2021 and he has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that applicant/accused has nothing to do with the alleged offence and story of the prosecution is highly doubtful as the applicant/accused was not present at the spot and not seen in the CCTV Footage. It is further submitted that complainant even did not utter a single word regarding the same. It is further submitted that present case is at the stage of evidence and trial will take a long time. It is further submitted that no fruitful purpose would be served to keep the applicant/accused behind the bars. It is

Continued to page no. 2

SC 460/2021
STATE Vs. RAJU ETC
FIR No. 596/2021
PS Jahangir Puri
U/s.302/34 IPC

further submitted that no application of applicant/accused is pending either before any other Court including Superior Courts. It is prayed that above said application may kindly be allowed.

On the other hand, Ld. Additional PP for State strongly opposed the bail application and submitted that allegations against the applicant/accused are serious in nature. It is prayed that the above said application may kindly be dismissed.

This court has given thoughtful consideration to the submissions made by Ld. counsel for applicant/accused and Ld. Additional PP for State and has also perused the record placed before the same.

Perusal of the record shows that vide order dated 28.10.2022 charge for the offences punishable U/s. 302/34 IPC have been framed against applicant/accused **Shankar** and his co-accused persons. There are specific allegations that applicant/accused **Shankar** and his co-accused persons had committed the murder of Rohit with gun shot injury. Perusal of the record also shows that, it has come in the testimony of eye witnesses that applicant/accused **Shankar** had fired gun shot towards the deceased and this shows that applicant/accused **Shankar** actively participated in commission of the alleged offence. Releasing applicant/accused **Shankar** on bail at this

Continued to page no. 3

SC 460/2021
STATE Vs. RAJU ETC
FIR No. 596/2021
PS Jahangir Puri
U/s.302/34 IPC

stage may cause serious threats to said witnesses.

Keeping in view above discussion as well as seriousness of allegations leveled against applicant/accused and seriousness and gravity of offences alleged against him, the **present bail application of applicant/accused Shankar is hereby dismissed.**

Nothing stated herein shall tantamount to any expression of opinion the merits of the case. **Copy of order be given dasti to the applicant/accused.** The present bail application along with the proceedings be tagged along with main case file.

(Richa Manchanda)
Addl. Sessions Judge- 04
North District Rohini Courts Delhi
21.08.2026