

CNR No. : DLNT01-006992-2021

SC No : 460/2021

STATE Vs. RAJU ETC

FIR No. 596 /2021

PS Jahangir Puri

U/s. 302/120B/201/34 IPC and

u/s 25/54/59 Arms Act

18.01.2023

Present: Sh. Sanjay Jindal, ld. Addl. PP for the State.
All accused persons produced from JC.
Ms. Mamta alongwith Sh. Ranjana Ranjan, ld. counsel
for accused Shankar.
Sh Surya Bhan Dinkar, ld. counsel for accused Raju.
Sh. Manmohan Singh alongwith Sh. Saurabh Singh
Tomar,ld. counsels for accused Ankit.
Sh. Anurag Pandey and Sh. Budh Priya Gautam, ld.
counsels for complainant.

PW IO Insp. Varun Dalal is exempted from personal
appearance for today only in view of his written request.

PW1 Sh. Ravi has been partly examined-in-chief and his
further examination is deferred as no time left and also keeping in
view the submission of ld. Addl. PP for the State that the assistance of
the IO is required for some clarifications for the purpose of complete
chief examination of the present witness. **PW Ravi is bound down
for the next date.**

After the completion of part chief examination, one
application for objection & written submissions in recording of
evidence of PW1 has been moved on behalf of accused **Ankit.**

The same is dismissed being ambiguous in nature.

PW Kishan is also discharged unexamined for today
only as it is already 4:00 p.m and no time left. **The said witness is
also bound down for the next date.**

At this stage, **an application has been moved on behalf
of the accused Ankit to prepare a detailed case calender for
examination of witnesses in abovesaid case.**

In this regard, Ld. counsel for the accused has placed reliance upon the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in '**State of Kerala v. Rasheed**', **Criminal Appeal No. 1321 of 2018**.

Heard.

There are only six public witnesses in the present case and to expedite the case all the public witnesses are being summoned.

The reliance placed by the ld. counsel on the aforesaid judgment does not make it mandatory for the court to make a scheduled examination.

Hence, the abovesaid application **is dismissed**.

Another application for providing uncertified copy of order has been moved on behalf of the applicant/accused Ankit.

Heard. Perused.

As all the orders are uploaded daily on court website, there is no requirement of providing the uncertified copy of the ordersheet. However, uncertified copy of the evidence recorded today have already been provided to the ld. counsel.

Hence, the abovesaid application is dismissed accordingly.

Put up for RPE on **28.04.2023 and 29.04.2023**. **Issue notice to the IO for the next date(s) for clarifications.**

All the public witnesses be summoned for the aforesaid date(s).

(Shivaji Anand)
Addl. Sessions Judge: 04 (North)
Rohini Courts: Delhi:18.01.2023^(R)