

**IN THE COURT OF Ms. NISHA SAHAY SAXENA  
PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE  
NORTH DISTRICT: ROHINI COURTS: DELHI**

CNR No. DLNT01-006982-2025  
SC No. 379/2025  
(IA No. 2/2025 / State Vs. Manjeet @ Sittu)  
STATE Vs. RAVI RANA ALIAS BASAN & Ors.  
FIR No. 83/2025  
PS: Alipur  
U/s 309(4)/311/3(5) BNS  
and 25/27 Arms Act

**ORDER**

02.09.2025

Vide this order, I shall dispose off an application u/s 483 BNSS, moved on behalf of applicant/ accused Manjeet @ Sittu.

I have heard the arguments of Sh. P.K. Samadhiya, Ld. Addl. PP for the State and Sh. Jagdish Janak, Ld. Counsel for applicant / accused Manjeet @ Sittu.

Reply to the said bail application has been filed by IO.

Ld. defence counsel has contended that applicant / accused Manjeet @ Sittu has been falsely implicated at the instance of complainant, who is cousin of accused. Relation of complainant with applicant is not cordial. Applicant is 34 years of age, having family comprising of his wife and a school going son aged about 7 years. He is working with M/s Tiranga Training Centre, Khera Kalan and getting salary of Rs.18,000/- pm and is sole bread earner in his family. Applicant / accused as a driver of co-accused Ravi Rana, drove the vehicle to the residence of complainant and co-accused Ravi Rana went to the house of

complainant, where some quarrel took place and applicant was falsely implicated in this case. Applicant himself surrendered before the IO.

It is further contended that no recovery has been effected from applicant and one pistol, which has been recovered, was recovered from co-accused Ravi Rana. No CCTV footage regarding the alleged incident was recovered. He is in J/C since 23.02.2025. Investigation of the present case has been completed and charge-sheet has also been filed. It will take long time to conclude the trial and applicant cannot be kept for an indefinite period. It is also submitted that that applicant is not a previous convict. Ld. Counsel for the applicant contended that the applicant is ready to abide by all the conditions imposed upon him and to appear regularly before this court.

Ld. Addl. PP for the State has strongly opposed the bail application owing to the gravity of the offence. Ld. Addl. PP argued that the complainant in his statement named the applicant as the person who was having weapon in his hand and both the accused including the applicant ran away while firing from pistol and that applicant along with third associate fled away in a white colour vehicle. Applicant himself surrendered before the police and admitted his role in the commission of offence with co-accused Ravi Rana. Applicant is involved in four other criminal cases.

In the case of **The State of Rajasthan Vs. Bal Chand, 1977 (4) SCC 308**, the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India has observed as under:

“The basic rule may perhaps be tersely put as bail, not jail, except where there are circumstances suggestive of fleeing from justice or thwarting the course of justice or creating other troubles in the shape of repeating offences or intimidating witnesses and the like, by the petitioner who seeks enlargement on bail from the court. We do not intend to be exhaustive but only illustrative.”

In the case of **Sanjay Chandra Vs. CBI 2012(1) SCC 40**, the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India has observed as under:

“..We do not see any good reason to detain the accused in custody, that too, after the completion of the investigation and filing of the charge-sheet.”

In the said case **Sanjay Chandra (supra)**, the Apex Court further held as under:

“This Court, time and again, has stated that bail is the rule and committal to jail an exception. It is also observed that refusal of bail is a restriction on the personal liberty of the individual guaranteed under Article 21 of the Constitution.”

Perusal of the record reveals that the complainant, in his statement, alleged that the accused, Ravi Rana, armed with a weapon, unlawfully entered the complainant's residence and forcibly snatched / robbed a sum of Rs.12,000/- from his possession. Thereafter, the accused fled from the house of the complainant. The complainant raised an alarm and chased the accused Ravi Rana, during which he observed the applicant standing in the street who was also armed.

As per the statement of the complainant, it was accused Ravi Rana, who was firing and the complainant has not specifically assigned the role of firing to the applicant Manjeet. Further no recovery has been effected from him.

As regards previous involvement of applicant is concerned, the last case registered against him was in the year 2020, for the offences punishable u/s 188/34 IPC and u/s 25/54/59 Arms Act.

Though accused Ravi Rana was apprehended by public persons at the spot, but the present applicant himself surrendered before the police. No recovery has been effected from applicant. Applicant Manjeet @ Sittu is in J/C since 23.02.2025. Conclusion of the trial is likely to take considerable time. Accordingly, in view of the facts and circumstances of the case, the applicant Manjeet @ Sittu is admitted to bail on his furnishing a personal bond in the sum of Rs. 25,000/- with one surety in the like amount, and subject to the following conditions :

- (1) the applicant will not leave the city without prior permission of the court.
- (2) he shall appear before the court on each and every date.
- (3) he shall provide all his mobile numbers to the IO concerned, which shall be kept in working condition at all times and shall not change the mobile number without prior intimation to the IO concerned.
- (4) he shall not indulge in any criminal activity.

(5) the applicant will not threaten or influence the prosecution witnesses or tamper with evidence or create any sort of impediment in the trial etc.

It is clarified that nothing stated herein shall tantamount to an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

Copy of this order be sent to the Superintendent Jail concerned.

The present bail application accordingly stands disposed off and be tagged with the main file.

Put up for arguments on charge, on the date already fixed i.e. **28.10.2025**.

(NISHA SAHAY SAXENA)  
Principal District & Sessions Judge  
North District/Rohini Courts/Delhi  
02.09.2025