

MACP No. 310/21
Raj Bala & Ors. Vs. Munna Ali & Ors.

13.10.2025

Present: Sh. Dinesh Aggarwal, Ld. Counsel for petitioners
alongwith petitioner no. 1.
Respondent no. 1 to 3 are exparte.
Ms. Umesh Kaushal, Ld. Counsel for respondent no.
4 United India Insurance Co. Ltd.
Sh. Lalit Dhingra, Ld. Counsel for respondent no. 8
Bajaj Allianz General Insurance Co. Ltd.

Amended memo of parties has been filed impleading
name of driver and owner of victim's vehicle bearing no.
HR55M-7013.

As per the aforesaid memo of parties, owner of
aforesaid vehicle was deceased himself whose LRs are
petitioners in the present case. Since, the LRs of deceased can not
be impleaded both i.e., petitioners and respondents in this case,
driver, owner and insurance company of victim's vehicle no.
HR55M-7013 are deleted as respondents. The negligence if any
qua this vehicle shall be considered as contributory negligence
during trial. Accordingly, fresh amended memo of parties be filed
by the petitioners impleading driver, owner and insurance
company of vehicle no. UP22-AT-2542 and vehicle no.
HR38W-4001.

WS, if any be filed by the respondents.

Ld. Counsel for petitioners submit that an
application for revival of interest has also been filed. The said
application shall be considered on the next date of hearing.

Put up for framing of issues on **25.11.2025**.

(Richa Manchanda)
Judge MACT-2 (North)
Rohini Courts, Delhi/13.10.2025