

1 Mact 310/21
Rajbala And Ors (Lrs Of Ishwar Singh) Vs. Munna Ali

28.07.2025

Present: Shri Dinesh Kumar Aggarwal, Ld. Counsel for petitioner.
R-1 to R-3 exparte.
Ms. Umesh Kaushal, Ld. Counsel for R-4.
Shri Lalit Dhingra, Ld. Counsel for R-8.

Submissions filed on behalf of petitioner regarding the non-impleadment of driver and owner of the victim's vehicle. It is submitted that either Sh. Roopram, author of FIR/driver of said vehicle no.HR55M-7013 or this vehicle was not in composite negligence or in contributory negligence, therefore, said driver Roopram has not been made a party in the present petition. Said Sh. Roopram, author of FIR/ driver is an eye-witness. The deceased owner Sh. Ishwar Singh's legal heirs are petitioners in the present.

However, since it is on the application of petitioner for impleadment of vehicle no.HR55M-7013, that the said vehicle was impleaded in the present case, it is therefore necessary that driver and owner be also made a party to the present case, as petition is filed u/s 166 M.V. Act.

Accordingly, petitioner is directed to file amended memo of parties including the driver and owner of the victim's vehicle bearing no. no.HR55M-7013

Put up on **13.10. 2025.**

(Richa Manchanda)
Judge MACT-2 (North)
Rohini Courts, Delhi/28.07.2025