

CS DJ 371/24
JYOTI BHAYANA Vs. RAHUL SEHGAL

21.05.2025

Present: Sh. U. K. Dogra, counsel for the plaintiff.

Sh. A. K. Dhupar, counsel for the defendant.

Counsel for the defendant states that there is a delay of four days in filing the written statement.

It is argued that written statement was filed on-line within time.

Per contra, Counsel for the plaintiff states that application has not been moved under proper provision of law and the same may be rejected.

Heard. File perused.

The prayer in the suit, is for Recovery of Rs.7,03,000/-. The defendant must be given full opportunity to defend. The delay is minimal. Sufficient cause is shown. **Mere mentioning of wrong provision of law, is immaterial since, this court otherwise has jurisdiction to condone delay under Order 8 rule 1A CPC. The application seeking condonation of delay is allowed. Written statement is taken on record.**

At the request of the counsel for the plaintiff, now, to come up for filing of replication with advance copy thereof to the opposite counsel, completion of pleadings of the parties, admission/denial of the documents, for framing of the issues on **08.09.2025**.

(VIKRAM BALI)
DISTRICT JUDGE-2/NORTH/ ROHINI
COURT/DELHI/21.05.2025