

Bail Matters No.381/2026
STATE Vs. Imran@Rahul
FIR No.295/2025
PS Lahori Gate
under Section 309(6)/317(2)/3(5) BNS

28.02.2026

Video Conferencing facility is active throughout the proceedings during the day.

This is 4th application under Section 483 Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of bail moved on behalf of the applicant/accused Imran@Rahul.

Present: Sh. Vishal Gupta Ld. Substitute Addl. PP for the State.
Sh. U.S. Gautam, Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused Imran@Rahul.
IO in person.

TCR received.

Arguments heard. Record perused.

ORDER ON THE 4th BAIL APPLICATION:

Vide this order, this Court shall adjudicate upon the 4th bail application filed under Section 483 BNSS on behalf of the applicant Imran@Rahul.

1. Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused submitted that the applicant/accused is falsely implicated in the present FIR and is running in JC since 15.04.2025.
2. It is further averred that applicant/accused has nothing to do with the alleged offence and recovery if any, is planted upon

him by the police officials. It is further averred that there is delay in lodging the FIR, there is no independent witness, CDRs and crime scene videos.

3. It is further averred that applicant/accused is sole bread earner having family to maintain and he is not a previous convict.

4. It is further submitted that charge-sheet has already been filed in the present matter, all the material witnesses have been examined and trial will take long time.

5. It is further averred that the accused/applicant is no more required for the custody as investigation is complete and charge-sheet has been filed and no useful purpose would be served by keeping him behind the bars. It is further averred that trial will take long time. It is further averred that the accused/applicant undertakes to abide by all the terms and conditions imposed on the applicant in case the bail is granted.

6. Ld. counsel for applicant/accused has relied upon judgment titled as ***Arnesh Kumar Vs. State of Bihar & Anr. (Criminal Appeal No. 1277 of 2014)***.

7. Ld. Substitute Addl. PP for the State duly assisted by the IO vehemently opposed the bail application on the ground that the nature of crime is heinous and material witness/PW-1 has supported the allegations of prosecution qua applicant/accused during his testimony. It is further submitted that judgment/citation relied upon by Ld. Counsel for the

applicant/accused is not applicable to case in hand as the facts and circumstances of said case are different from the present case. It is prayed that application be dismissed.

8. I have heard the submissions and perused the record and relied upon citation carefully.

9. Perusal of the TCR reveals that applicant/accused is charged with the offence under Section 309(6)/317(2)/3(5) BNS and matter is at the stage of PE and material witness/PW-1 has supported the allegations in question.

10. Perusal of the reply filed by the IO reveals that as per CCTV footage, accused was present with co-accused persons at the spot and was seen actively participating in the offence. It further reveals that there is apprehension that the applicant/accused may help in absconding co-accused Rizwan who is yet to be apprehended. It further reveals that applicant/accused is a habitual offender having lot of previous involvements and robbed mobile phone and cash was also recovered from the possession of applicant/accused.

11. In view of aforesaid facts and circumstances, considering the gravity of the offence, seriousness of the allegations levelled against the accused/applicant, fact that material witness has supported the allegations in question, CCTV footage, alleged recovery at the instance of applicant, fact that co-accused yet to be arrested, apprehension that accused/applicant can help the co-accused in absconding on being released and finding force in the

submissions made by Ld. Substitute Addl. PP for the State and in the reply of IO, this Court is not inclined to enlarge accused/applicant **Imran@Rahul** on bail at this stage. Accordingly, the present bail application stands dismissed and disposed of.

12. Needless to say, the above-mentioned observations are predicated solely on the facts as alleged, and brought forth at this juncture, and are not finding on merits, and would also have no bearing on the merits of the case. Application stands disposed off.

Copy of this order be sent to SHO concerned for information. Copy of this order be given dasti to the Ld. Counsel for accused as well as to the Ld. Prosecutor for record.

TCR be sent back.

Order be uploaded on CIS.

(SHILPI JAIN)
ASJ-02, Central, THC
Delhi/28.02.2026