

CNR No. : DLNT01-006875-2021
SC No. : 436/2021
STATE Vs. SUSHIL KUMAR ETC
FIR No. : 218 /2021
PS : Crime Branch-North Delhi
U/s: 302/307/308/364/365/452/323/342/188/
269/147/148/149/506(II)/392/394/397/411/201/109/120B/34 IPC
U/s 25(1)(B)/27(1)Arms Act

16.09.2022

Present: Sh. Sanjay Jindal, ld. Addl. PP for the State alongwith Sh. Atul Srivastava, ld. Special PP for the State.

Accused Prince (A-2), Rahul @ Dhanda (A-17), Parveen Dabas S/o Sh. Sahib Singh (A-15) and Parveen @ Choti (A-16) produced from JC.

Remaining accused persons not produced from JC.

Sh. R.S. Malik, Sh. Sahil Malik, Sh. Ajay Malik, Sh. Raj Sudhakar, Sh. Abhishek, Sh. Vikas Dabas, Sh. Devika, Sh. Sidhant for accused Sushil Kumar (A1)

Ms. Swati Rathi and Ms. Cheshtha Malik, ld. counsel for accused Prince (A2).

Sh. Arun Khatri, Sh. Sahil Khatana, Sh. Akshay, Sh. Mohit, Ms. Shalini, Ms. Saumya and Ms. Akansha, ld. counsels for accused Praveen Dabas (A-15)

Sh. Pradeep Rana, ld. counsel for accused Anirudh Dahiya (A-10).

Sh. P. Piyush and Sh. Gaurav Sehgal, ld. counsels for accused Mohit @ Bholi (A4), Manjeet @ Chunnilal (A5) and Bhupinder @ Bhupi (A7).

Sh. Ankur Yadav, ld. counsel for accused Rohit Malik @ Sonu (A8).

Sh. Abhimanyu Singh, ld. counsel for accused Gulab (A6), Bijender @ Binder (A9) and Parveen @ Choti (A16).

Sh. Sumeet Shokeen, ld. counsel for accused Praveen Dabas S/o Sh. Sahib Singh, A3, A-13, A-14 and A-17.

Sh. Nikhil Kumar Vats, KDS Chauhan, Dev Solanki, Prateek Kirar, Rohit Singh, Divyanshu for witness Jai Bhagwan @ Sonu.

Sh. Sandeep, ld. proxy counsel for Ajay Kumar Pipaniya, ld. counsel for all the witnesses.

The matter is listed for arguments on charge.

It is submitted by the IO that inadvertently the number of accused persons in the order dated 08.09.2022 was mentioned as 17 instead of 18. It is also inadvertently mentioned in the order that Sanction u/s 39 Arms Act would be applicable for the accused Vinod Pradhan as he was having in possession of licensed arm belonging to accused Prince. However, the Sanction u/s 39 Arms Act would be applicable for the accused Prince as he was having in possession of licenced arm belonging to accused Vinod Pradhan. **Accordingly, the same be deemed corrected. The number of accused persons is read as 18 instead of 17 and the Sanction u/s 39 Arms Act would be applicable for the accused Vinod Pradhan be read as Prince as he was having in possession of licenced arm belonging to accused Prince be read as Vinod Pradhan.**

Ld. Special Prosecutor has submitted that he has recently come from other State and is not well prepared to argue. He has further submitted that he will be in a position to argue on Monday.

The case is also listed for tomorrow so IO is directed to provide the chart mentioning specific roles of each accused and the evidence against them.

Put up for arguments on the point of charge on **17.09.2022 and 19.09.2022. IO is directed to appear in person on the next date of hearings.**

(Shivaji Anand)
Addl. Sessions Judge: 04 (North)
Rohini Courts: Delhi:16.09.2022(R)