

DLNT01-006875-2021
SC No. 436/2021
STATE Vs. Sushil Kumar etc
FIR No. 218/2021
PS: Crime Branch (Model Town)
U/s 302/307/308/364/365/325/323/341/342/452/269/188/147/148/149/506(ii)/
392/394/397/411/201/109/120B/34/174A
IPC & U/s 25(1) (B)/27(1) Arms Act.

06.06.2022

File taken up today on application U/s 439 Cr.PC for grant of interim bail for a period of 45 days on behalf of the applicant/ accused namely **Anirudh Dahiya**.

Present: Sh. Sanjay Jindal, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.
Sh. Pradeep Rana, Ld counsel for the applicant/ accused.
Sh. Akash Sethi, Ld counsel for the complainant.
IO/ Inspector Mangesh Tyagi.

Reply to the bail application has been received. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant/ accused that he has been falsely implicated in the present case and the accused is in JC since 10.06.2021. He has further submitted that the father and grand-mother of the applicant/ accused are in urgent need of medical attention and therefore they need to undergo through surgery/ operation and other procedures at the earliest possible but they are unable to undergo the said surgery/ operation and procedures as there is no one to look after them during and after the surgery/ operation and elder brother of the accused/ applicant is living separately from his parents and pays no heed towards them. He has further submitted that applicant/ accused is a law abiding citizen of India and belongs to a respectable family and is not a previous convicted and no any case is pending against him in any police

DLNT01-006875-2021
SC No. 436/2021
STATE Vs.Shushil Kumar and Anirudh Dahiya etc
FIR No. 218/2021
PS: Crime Branch (Model Town)
U/s 302/307/308/364/365/325/323/341/342/452/269/188/147/148/149/506(ii)/
392/394/397/411/201/109/120B/34/174A
IPC & U/s 25(1) (B)/27(1) Arms Act.

-2-

station. He has further submitted that no chance of his absconding or tempering with the prosecution evidence and is ready to furnish the sound surety to the entire satisfaction of this Hon'ble Court.

On the other hand, Id Additional P.P for State had opposed the bail application and submitted that applicant/accused Anirudh Dahiya along with other co-accused persons, including dreaded criminals of Haryana and Delhi, abducted the victims and committed this offence.

Heard. The submission of Ld counsel for the complainant that Harnia operation is a minor operation and generally patients are discharged the same day seems to be acceptable. As far as the illness of the grandmother is concerned, she may have to undergo a long treatment but keeping in view that there are other persons to take care of her, and considering the seriousness of the offence the present bail application is **dismissed** and same is disposed of accordingly. Bail application file be consigned to record room. Copy of order be given dasti to the concerned parties.

(Shivaji Anand)
Addl. Sessions Judge: 04 (North)
Rohini Courts: Delhi:06.06.2022(S)