

SC 392/2024
STATE Vs. ROHIT@LADDU and Ors.
FIR No.186/2024
PS Alipur
U/s. 307/120B/34 IPC
& U/s. 25/27 Arms Act

03.12.2025

File taken up today on two separate applications U/s. 439 Cr. P. C for grant bail moved on behalf of applicants/accused persons namely **Himmat @ Sonu and Kunal**.

Present: Sh. Sanjay Jindal, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.
Sh. Vipin Kumar and Sh. Sahil, Ld. Counsels for complainant along complainant Vikas @ Pali.
Sh. Sumeet Shokeen, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused.

Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused submits that applicants/accused persons are in JC since 06.03.2024 and they have been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that FIR in question was registered after an unexplained delay of almost 5 hours and the same itself creates a serious doubts on the alleged story of the prosecution. It is further submitted that the allegations made in the FIR have been found to be absolutely false by the investigation officer and the other persons named in the FIR were not even kept as suspects in column no. 12 in the chargesheet. It is further submitted that applicants/accused persons have no role whatsoever either in the offences as alleged in the above mentioned FIR or with any of the co-accused. It is further submitted that investigation of the present case has already been completed and trial will take a long

Continued to page no. 2

SC 392/2024
STATE Vs. ROHIT@LADDU and Ors.
FIR No.186/2024
PS Alipur
U/s. 307/120B/34 IPC
& U/s. 25/27 Arms Act

time. It is further submitted that in the present case co-accused has already been granted bail. It is prayed that above said application may kindly be allowed on the ground of parity.

On the other hand, Ld. Additional PP for State strongly opposed the bail application and submitted that allegations against the applicant/accused are serious in nature. It is prayed that the above said application may kindly be dismissed.

This court has given thoughtful consideration to the submissions made by Ld. counsel for applicant/accused and Ld. Additional PP for State and has also perused the record placed before the same.

Perusal of the record shows that vide order dated 19.11.2025 , charges for the offences punishable 307/120B/34 IPC have been framed against applicant/accused persons namely **Himmat @ Sonu and Kunal**. Both applicant/accused persons are in JC since 06.03.2024 i.e. for a period of about one year eight months. Further, complainant has appeared before the court today and he has made submissions to the effect that he has no objection both the applicants/accused persons are granted bail. Thus, no useful purpose shall be served by further incarceration to both the applicants/accused persons as trial shall take time and

SC 392/2024
STATE Vs. ROHIT@LADDU and Ors.
FIR No.186/2024
PS Alipur
U/s. 307/120B/34 IPC
& U/s. 25/27 Arms Act

as such there is no threat to complainant/victim. Therefore, it is ordered that applicant /accused **Himmat @ Sonu and Kunal** be released on bail on his furnishing personal bond in the sum of **Rs. 20,000/- with one surety** in the like amount subject to the satisfaction of following conditions:-

- (i) Applicant/accused is directed not to threaten prosecution witnesses in any manner or tamper with evidence or indulge in any other criminal activity in future;
- (ii) Applicant/accused shall not commit similar offences in future. In case, it is prima facie brought to the notice of the Court that the applicant/accused is violating the said conditions, the bail granted to him shall be liable to be cancelled on an application of investigating agency in this regard.

The bail application is disposed of accordingly. Nothing stated herein shall tantamount to any expression of opinion on the merits of the case. Application stands disposed of. Bail application file be tagged with the main case file. Copy of the order be given dasti.

(Sushil Kumar)
Addl. Sessions Judge- 04
North District Rohini Courts Delhi
03.12.2025