

SC No. 436/2021
STATE Vs. SUSHIL KUMAR & ORS.
FIR No. 218/2021
PS Crime Branch-North Delhi
U/s: 302/307/308/364/365/452/323/342/188/
269/147/148/149/506(II)/392/394/397/411/201/109/120B/34 IPC
U/s 25(1)(B)/27(1)Arms Act

03.07.2026

This application U/s. 483 BNSS for grant of regular bail moved on behalf of applicant/accused **Surjeet Grewal** is placed before me in terms of order No. 18230-18256 Judl /Bail /North /RC /2026, dated 30.04.2026 of Ld. Principal District & Sessions Judge, North, Rohini Courts, Delhi.

Present: Sh. J. S. Malik, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.
Ms. Taniya Qureshi (through VC) and Ms. Komal Jha (physically), Ld. Counsels for father of deceased.
Sh. Saksham Bhayana, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused.
IO/SI Mangesh Tyagi appearing **through** VC.

Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused submits that applicant/accused is in JC since 22.07.2021 and he has been falsely implicated in the present case and is hopeful for vindicating his innocence during the course of trial. It is further submitted that present case is at the stage of evidence and prosecution has cited a total number of 222 witnesses to prove its case and till date only 45 witnesses have been examined in a period of almost five years. It is further submitted that all the material witnesses have been examined and none of them have supported the case of the prosecution. It is further submitted that

Continued to page no. 2

SC No. 436/2021
STATE Vs. SUSHIL KUMAR & ORS.
FIR No. 218/2021
PS Crime Branch-North Delhi
U/s: 302/307/308/364/365/452/323/342/188/
269/147/148/149/506(II)/392/394/397/411/201/109/120B/34 IPC
U/s 25(1)(B)/27(1)Arms Act

remaining witnesses cited by the prosecution are only formal and official witnesses, whose testimonies are procedural in nature and are not capable of establishing the alleged involvement of the applicant/accused in the present case. It is further submitted that applicant/accused is a young wrestler of international repute, having a promising career in the field of sports and representing the aspirations of his family and the nation. It is further submitted that the forthcoming Commonwealth Games, 2030 are of immense significance for the applicant/accused for which rigorous training, physical conditioning and uninterrupted preparation are required over a sustained period of time. It is further submitted that no application of applicant/accused is pending either before any other Court including Superior Courts. It is prayed that above said application may kindly be allowed.

On the other hand, Ld. Additional PP for State strongly opposed the bail application and submitted that allegations against the applicant/accused are serious in nature. It is prayed that the above said application may kindly be dismissed.

This court has given thoughtful consideration to the submissions made by Ld. counsel for applicant/accused and Ld. Additional PP for State and has also perused the record placed

SC No. 436/2021
STATE Vs. SUSHIL KUMAR & ORS.
FIR No. 218/2021
PS Crime Branch-North Delhi
U/s: 302/307/308/364/365/452/323/342/188/
269/147/148/149/506(II)/392/394/397/411/201/109/120B/34 IPC
U/s 25(1)(B)/27(1)Arms Act

before the same.

Perusal of the record shows that vide order dated 15.10.2022, charges for the offences U/s. 302/ 307/ 308/ 364/ 365/452/323/342/188/269/147/148/149/506(II)/392/394/397/411/ 201/109/120B/34 IPC & U/s 25(1)(B)/27(1)Arms Act have been framed against applicant/accused and his co-accused persons and the present case is at the stage of recording of prosecution evidence. Perusal of the record reveals that an eye witness of the alleged incident has correctly identified applicant/accused **Surjeet Grewal** and he has narrated the incident frame by frame in his examination-in-chief. It is true that the said eye witness has turned hostile in his cross-examination, however, the facts deposed by him in his examination-in-chief and identification of applicant/accused **Surjeet Grewal** during his examination-in-chief cannot be overlooked. Further, it is not the stage when appreciation of evidence can be done. It is also important to mention that in the present case vide order dated 13.08.2025, Hon'ble Supreme Court of India had cancelled the bail granted to co-accused **Sushil Kumar**. Many other material witnesses are yet to be examined and possibility of influencing of such material witnesses by applicant/accused **Surjeet Grewal** cannot be ruled

SC No. 436/2021
STATE Vs. SUSHIL KUMAR & ORS.
FIR No. 218/2021
PS Crime Branch-North Delhi
U/s: 302/307/308/364/365/452/323/342/188/
269/147/148/149/506(II)/392/394/397/411/201/109/120B/34 IPC
U/s 25(1)(B)/27(1)Arms Act

out, if he is released on bail.

Keeping in view of above discussion as well as in view of the seriousness of allegations leveled against applicant/accused **Surjeet Grewal** and particularly in view of the fact that bail application of co-accused **Sushil Kumar** was cancelled by Hon'ble Supreme Court of India vide order dated 13.08.2025, this Court is not inclined to allow the bail application filed by applicant/accused **Surjeet Grewal**. Same is dismissed, accordingly.

Nothing stated herein shall tantamount to any expression of opinion the merits of the case. **Copy of order be given dasti to the applicant/accused.** The present bail application along with the proceedings be tagged along with main case file.

(Sushil Kumar)
Addl. Sessions Judge- 04
North District Rohini Courts Delhi
03.07.2026