

Ishwar Chand versus Anil Kumar

Present:- Sh.S.S.Panjeta, Advocate for plaintiff.
Sh.Varinder Sehgal, Advocate for defendant.

Two DWs namely Anil Kumar and Mange Ram are present for their cross examination. In pursuance of Order 18 Rule 4(2) of CPC, Sh.Rajan Bhatia Advocate, who is empaneled in the list of commissioners for recording evidence is hereby appointed as Local Commissioner to record the evidence of the witness present today. After, consultation with counsel for the parties, the fee of Local Commissioner is assessed as Rs.200/- per witness to be paid by defendant. The counsel for the defendant is directed to supply the photocopy of the relevant document to the local commissioner appointed today as required. It is directed that the evidence of the witness be recorded in the court premises itself and now file be put up today itself after completion of evidence of present witness.

(Sumit Garg)
CJ(SD)/27.04.2016

Present:- Sh.S.S.Panjeta, Advocate for plaintiff.
Sh.Varinder Sehgal, Advocate for defendant.

File put up after some time. The cross examination of DW1 Anil Kumar and DW2 Mange Ram had been recorded by Local Commissioner. The same has been placed on record. Fee has been received by Local Commissioner.

Learned counsel for the defendant closed the oral evidence of defendant vide making a separate statement and sought adjournment for production of documents. Now to come upon **06.05.2016** for documentary evidence of defendant at own responsibility.

(Sumit Garg)
CJ(SD)/27.04.2016

Typed by Sunita