

CS (Comm.) No. 247/2026
M/s Qued Insight Research Pvt. Ltd. Vs.
M/s Aum Research Pvt. Ltd. & Ors.

30.03.2026

Present: Ms. Deepshikha Kashyap, Ld. Proxy Counsel for the
plaintiff.

None for the defendant company.

1. Ordinary process issued to the defendant company received back with the report that the premises were found locked. It appears that the defendant company has been served through e-mail.
2. The plaintiff was supposed to file the affidavit regarding the service on the defendant, particularly regarding the e-mail on which the defendant company appears to have been served, belongs to the defendant company or not.
3. Ld. Proxy Counsel for the plaintiff seeks some more time to file the affidavit of service.
4. Let the needful be done by the next date of hearing.
5. Now file be taken up for consideration on **16.04.2026**.

(Rajesh Kumar Goel)
District Judge (Commercial Court)-02
Central District, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi
30.03.2026