

SC 392/2024
STATE Vs. ROHIT@LADDU and Ors.
FIR No. 186/2024
PS Alipur

U/s: 307/120B/34 IPC & U/s: 25/27 Arms Act

24.07.2025

This is an application under section 483 BNSS, for grant of regular bail moved on behalf of applicant/accused **Mohit**.

Present: Sh. Sanjay Jindal, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.
Sh. Akash Sethi, Ms. Hitika Arora, Sh. Jitender Kohli
and Sh. Rishabh Kapoor, Ld. Counsels for
applicant/accused.
IO/SI Rakesh Kumar in person.

Reply to the above said application has been filed by IO.
Same is taken on record. Copy of the same supplied to Ld. Counsel
for applicant/accused.

Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused submits
that applicant/accused is in JC since 23.02.2024 and he has been
falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that in the
present matter the investigation has been completed and the
chargesheet has been filed before the Hon'ble Court and till date
charges has not been framed against the applicant/accused. It is further
submitted that trial will take a long time as there are 25 witnesses has
to be examined, therefore, no useful purpose would be served to keep
the applicant/accused behind the bar. It is further submitted that in the
present case co-accused has already been granted bail. It is prayed that
above said application may kindly be allowed.

On the other hand, Ld. Additional PP for State strongly
opposed the bail application and submitted that allegations against the
applicant/accused are serious in nature. It is prayed that the above said
application may kindly be dismissed.

This court has given thoughtful consideration to the

Continued to page no. 2

SC 392/2024
STATE Vs. ROHIT@LADDU and Ors.
FIR No. 186/2024
PS Alipur
U/s: 307/120B/34 IPC & U/s: 25/27 Arms Act

submissions made by Ld. counsel for applicant/accused and Ld. Additional PP for State and has also perused the record placed before the same.

Briefly stated, the present FIR case bearing No.186/2024 was registered in the PS Alipur U/s. 307/120B/34 IPC. The allegations that applicant/accused **Mohit**. The allegations that applicant/accused **Mohit** are that he alongwith his other co-accused persons, in furtherance of their common intention, fired gun-shot towards complainant with an intention to murder the complainant, however, the complainant survived from the attack. Perusal of the record shows that applicant/accused **Mohit** had driven the vehicle in which he alongwith his co-accused persons had come and had fired gun shot towards complainant. Matter is at initial stage. Charge against applicant/accused **Mohit** are yet to be framed and material witnesses are yet to be examined. Releasing applicant/accused **Mohit** at this stage may cause threats to victim/material witnesses.

Keeping in view of the above discussion and also considering the severity and the gravity of offence as well as seriousness of allegations, the present bail application of applicant/accused **Mohit** is hereby dismissed.

Nothing stated herein shall tantamount to any expression of opinion the merits of the case. Copy of order be given dasti to the concerned parties. The present bail application file be tagged with the

Continued to page no. 3

Page No. 3

SC 392/2024
STATE Vs. ROHIT@LADDU and Ors.
FIR No. 186/2024
PS Alipur
U/s: 307/120B/34 IPC & U/s: 25/27 Arms Act

main case file.

(Sushil Kumar)
Addl. Sessions Judge- 04
North District Rohini Courts Delhi
24.07.2025