

SC 436/2021
STATE Vs. SUSHIL KUMAR ETC (13)
FIR No. 218/2021
PS Crime Branch-North Delhi
U/s: 302/307/308/364/365/452/323/342/188/
269/147/148/149/506(II)/392/394/397/411/201/109/120B/34 IPC
U/s 25(1)(B)/27(1)Arms Act

07.04.2025

File taken up today on an application for grant of custody parole/Bail Consecutively for two days on account of medical urgency of grand mother of applicant/accused moved on behalf of applicant/accused **Ajay Kumar S/o. Sh. Suresh Kumar.**

Present: Ms. Yogita Kaushik, Ld. Substitute Addl. PP for the State.
Sh. Shiv Kant Sharma, Ld. Counsel for Complainant appearing through VC.
Sh. Sumit Shokeen, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused appearing through VC.
IO/Inspector Mangesh Tyagi is absent.

An application for exemption from personal appearance has been received from IO/Inspector Mangesh Tyagi. In view of the reasons mentioned in the above said application, IO/Inspector Mangesh Tyagi is exempted for today only.

Reply to the above said application has been received from IO. Same is taken on record. Copy of the same supplied to Ld. counsel for applicant/accused.

Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused submits that grand mother of applicant/accused is not keeping well since last more than two weeks and is in the last phase of her life. It is further submitted that grand mother of applicant/accused is also suffering gangrene with chronic infarct in bilateral thalamic region and she also suffered

Continued to page no. 2

SC 436/2021
STATE Vs. SUSHIL KUMAR ETC (13)
FIR No. 218/2021
PS Crime Branch-North Delhi
U/s: 302/307/308/364/365/452/323/342/188/
269/147/148/149/506(II)/392/394/397/411/201/109/120B/34 IPC
U/s 25(1)(B)/27(1)Arms Act

cerebrovascular accident and was operated for left hip fracture. It is further submitted that applicant/accused wishes to take care of grand mother and meet her grand mother during the last phase of her life at her house bearing H.No. 212, Village Bakkarwla, Delhi. It is further submitted that medical documents of grand mother of applicant/accused is also annexed with the application. It is prayed that above said application may kindly be allowed.

On the other hand, Ld. Additional PP for State strongly opposed the above said application and submitted that allegations against the applicant/accused are serious in nature. It is prayed that the above said application may kindly be dismissed.

This court has given thoughtful consideration to the submissions made by Ld. counsel for applicant/accused and Ld. Additional PP for State and has also perused the record placed before the same.

In view of the circumstances and the submissions of Ld. counsel for the applicant as well as the verification report with respect to various old age ailments of his grand mother and the fact that she is in the last phase of her life, applicant/accused **Ajay Kumar S/o. Sh. Suresh Kumar** is permitted to custody parole for four hours for tomorrow i.e. **08.04.2025 from 10:00 A.M. to 2:00 P.M. at H. No. 212, Village Bakkarwla, Delhi 110 041 (excluding the time to be taken into and fro)**. The concerned Jail Superintendent is directed to take the

SC 436/2021
STATE Vs. SUSHIL KUMAR ETC (13)
FIR No. 218/2021
PS Crime Branch-North Delhi
U/s: 302/307/308/364/365/452/323/342/188/
269/147/148/149/506(II)/392/394/397/411/201/109/120B/34 IPC
U/s 25(1)(B)/27(1)Arms Act

applicant/accused to the aforesaid venue on 08.04.2025 and he may be re-lodged in he prison immediately, thereafter. As regards the submission of ld. counsel for the applicant/accused that the applicant/accused may be taken at the venue without handcuffs and fetters and the escorting staff may be directed to be in plain civil clothes, is left at the discretion of concerned Jail Superintendent, who shall decide the same taking into consideration the fact that the present applicant/accused is in the category of high risk.

Let copy of this order be sent to concerned Jail Superintendent through special messenger as well as through E-mail, with direction to take the applicant/accused on 08.04.2024 between the aforesaid relevant time period and to lodge him back in the jail.

Compliance report in this regard be also sent to the Court. The above said application be tagged with the main file. Copy of order be given Dasti to Ld. counsel for applicant/accused.

(Sushil Kumar)
Addl. Sessions Judge- 04
North District Rohini Courts Delhi
07.04.2025

SC 436/2021
STATE Vs. SUSHIL KUMAR ETC (13)
FIR No. 218/2021
PS Crime Branch-North Delhi
U/s: 302/307/308/364/365/452/323/342/188/
269/147/148/149/506(II)/392/394/397/411/201/109/120B/34 IPC
U/s 25(1)(B)/27(1)Arms Act

07.04.2025

File taken up today on an application U/s. 439 Cr. P. C for grant of regular bail moved on behalf of applicant/accused **Ajay Sehrawat**.

Present: Ms. Yogita Kaushik, Ld. Substitute Addl. PP for the State.
Sh. Shiv Kant Sharma, Ld. Counsel for Complainant appearing through VC.
Sh. Sumit Shokeen, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused appearing through VC.

Ld. Counsels for both the parties submit that bail order passed in favour of co-accused Sushil Kumar has been challenged before Hon'ble Supreme Court of India by way of SLP and also submits that bail applications filed on behalf of co-accused persons are also pending before Hon'ble High Court of Delhi and same are listed on 15.04.2025.

In view of the above, be put up for further proceedings on **17.04.2025**. IO is also directed to appear in person on the NDOH.

(Sushil Kumar)
Addl. Sessions Judge- 04
North District Rohini Courts Delhi
07.04.2025

SC 436/2021
STATE Vs. SUSHIL KUMAR ETC (13)
FIR No. 218/2021
PS Crime Branch-North Delhi
U/s: 302/307/308/364/365/452/323/342/188/
269/147/148/149/506(II)/392/394/397/411/201/109/120B/34 IPC
U/s 25(1)(B)/27(1)Arms Act

07.04.2025

File taken up today on an application U/s. 439 Cr. P. C for grant of regular bail moved on behalf of applicant/accused **Prince Dalal**.

Present: Ms. Yogita Kaushik, Ld. Substitute Addl. PP for the State.
Sh. Shiv Kant Sharma, Ld. Counsel for Complainant appearing through VC.
Sh. Luv Sharma and Sh. Sachin Anand, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused.

Ld. Counsels for both the parties submit that bail order passed in favour of co-accused Sushil Kumar has been challenged before Hon'ble Supreme Court of India by way of SLP and also submits that bail applications filed on behalf of co-accused persons are also pending before Hon'ble High Court of Delhi and same are listed on 15.04.2025.

In view of the above, be put up for further proceedings on 17.04.2025. IO is also directed to appear in person on the NDOH.

(Sushil Kumar)
Addl. Sessions Judge- 04
North District Rohini Courts Delhi
07.04.2025

SC 436/2021
STATE Vs. SUSHIL KUMAR ETC (13)
FIR No. 218/2021
PS Crime Branch-North Delhi
U/s: 302/307/308/364/365/452/323/342/188/
269/147/148/149/506(II)/392/394/397/411/201/109/120B/34 IPC
U/s 25(1)(B)/27(1)Arms Act

07.04.2025

File taken up today on an application U/s. 483 BNS for grant of regular bail moved on behalf of applicant/accused **Rahul Dhandra**.

Present: Ms. Yogita Kaushik, Ld. Substitute Addl. PP for the State.
Sh. Shiv Kant Sharma, Ld. Counsel for Complainant appearing through VC.
Sh. Shyam Babu, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused appearing through VC.

Ld. Counsels for both the parties submit that bail order passed in favour of co-accused Sushil Kumar has been challenged before Hon'ble Supreme Court of India by way of SLP and also submits that bail applications filed on behalf of co-accused persons are also pending before Hon'ble High Court of Delhi and same are listed on 15.04.2025.

In view of the above, be put up for further proceedings on 17.04.2025. IO is also directed to appear in person on the NDOH.

(Sushil Kumar)
Addl. Sessions Judge- 04
North District Rohini Courts Delhi
07.04.2025

SC 436/2021
STATE Vs. SUSHIL KUMAR ETC (13)
FIR No. 218/2021
PS Crime Branch-North Delhi
U/s: 302/307/308/364/365/452/323/342/188/
269/147/148/149/506(II)/392/394/397/411/201/109/120B/34 IPC
U/s 25(1)(B)/27(1)Arms Act

07.04.2025

File taken up today on an application U/s. 439 Cr. P. C for grant of regular bail moved on behalf of applicant/accused **Anirudh Dahiya**.

Present: Ms. Yogita Kaushik, Ld. Substitute Addl. PP for the State.
Sh. Shiv Kant Sharma, Ld. Counsel for Complainant appearing through VC.
Sh. Himanshu Rana, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused.

Ld. Counsels for both the parties submit that bail order passed in favour of co-accused Sushil Kumar has been challenged before Hon'ble Supreme Court of India by way of SLP and also submits that bail applications filed on behalf of co-accused persons are also pending before Hon'ble High Court of Delhi and same are listed on 15.04.2025.

In view of the above, be put up for further proceedings on 17.04.2025. IO is also directed to appear in person on the NDOH.

(Sushil Kumar)
Addl. Sessions Judge- 04
North District Rohini Courts Delhi
07.04.2025