

OMP (I) (Comm.) No. 245/2026
Tata Capital Ltd. vs. GD Infra Solution

17.04.2026

Present: Sh. Tanishq Jain Ld. Counsel for the petitioner.

None for the respondent.

1. Ld. Counsel for the petitioner pointed out that the respondent has already been served and in this regard, he has filed the affidavit of the AR of the petitioner company.
2. On perusal of the said affidavit filed by the AR of the petitioner company namely Rawat Rohit, it is revealed that the AR is claiming to have served the respondent through whatsapp as well e-mail; the affidavit is dated 20.03.2026 which bears the seal of the Oath Commissioner also of the same date but the copy of the e-mail attached along with the said affidavit is dated 23.03.2026. It is not clear as to when the whatsapp message was sent to the respondent.
3. In these circumstances, aforesaid AR of the petitioner company is directed to appear in person along with the mobile phone vide which it is being claimed that the whatsapp message was sent to the respondent in the present case.
4. Now put up for consideration on **18.04.2026**.

(Rajesh Kumar Goel)
District Judge (Commercial Court)-02
Central District, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi
17.04.2026