

05.11.2024

Present: Sh. Amit Chaudhary and Sh. S.K. Kaushik, counsel for the plaintiff.
Sh. Kuldeep Mansukhani, counsel for defendant no. 1 (through VC but not audible)
Sh. Rajeev Katyain, counsel for the defendant no. 4.
Sh. Kunal Kashyap, counsel for defendant no. 2.

Counsel for the defendant no. 4 presses for his application dated 26.07.2024 for deletion of name. Counsel for the plaintiff states that he has no objection as late Sh. Rajat Gupta is son of the plaintiff. Smt. Tanya Goyal is daughter in law of the plaintiff. Smt. Tanya Goyal has withdrawn amount of Sh. Rajat Gupta from his accounts in Yes Bank, ICICI Bank and Life Insurance Corporation. As of now the suit is primarily directed against defendant no. 1.

The no objection statement of the counsel for the plaintiff has been recorded separately.

Counsel for the defendant no. 4 states that in view of the order passed he withdraws his application under order 7 rule 11 CPC. The same is disposed off as withdrawn.

In view of the no objection by counsel for the plaintiff, the defendant no. 4 is deleted from the array of defendants. Application of defendant no. 4 is allowed. Counsel for the defendant no. 2 states that he will move an appropriate application for deletion of his name also. Written statement and vakalatnama had been filed by defendant no. 2. Copy supplied to counsel opposite. An application under order 9 rule 7 CPC has been filed by defendant no. 1. Counsel for the plaintiff states that he already has a copy and will file reply.

Now to come up for filing of amended memo of parties and for reply with advance copy to counsel opposite and arguments on application under order 9 rule 7 CPC.

To come up on 19.02.2025.

(VIKRAM BALI)
DISTRICT JUDGE-2/NORTH/ ROHINI
COURT/DELHI/05.11.2024