

12.07.2022

Present: Sh. Musheer Zaidi, Ld. counsel for the appellant  
alongwith Mr. Waseem Ahmad, AR/Legal Assistant of  
the appellant.

Respondent through Sh. J.K. Jain, Advocate.

Ld. Counsel for the respondent is not ready to give a go by  
to the application u/s.5 of the Limitation Act, therefore, the counsel  
appearing on behalf of appellant has confined his arguments to the  
said application only.

The prime reason given for delay for three and half  
months is the beaurocratic wrangel and redtapism according to the  
counsel for the appellant. These facts can be substantiated through  
record and therefore, the appellant can substantiate these contentions  
accordingly.

List the matter on 28.07.2022 for arguments and order  
subject to production of relevant record as the appellant may choose to  
produce including the file noting as was indicated during the course of  
arguments.

(Vimal Kumar Yadav)  
Principal District & Sessions Judge (North)  
Rohini Courts, Delhi/sb

At this stage, it is revealed that Mr. Musheer Zaidi does  
not hold vakalatnama for the appellant. In such circumstances, the  
matter is still required to be argued by the counsels concerned or the  
arguments are to be adopted by the authorized counsel for the  
appellant.

(Vimal Kumar Yadav)  
Principal District & Sessions Judge (North)  
Rohini Courts, Delhi/sb