

CS DJ 355/19 PAWAN KUMAR RATHOR Vs. DAYARAM AND ORS
24.03.2025.

Present: Mr. S.K. Mittal, Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff.

Ms. Ruchika Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the defendants.

ORDER

1. Since no affidavit of admission / denial filed on behalf of the defendants, their right to file affidavit of admission / denial stands closed. Accordingly, admission / denial of documents stands concluded.

2. At this stage, counsels for parties are asked whether there is possibility of settlement in terms of Order 10 Rule 1A. The counsels for the parties submit that there is no possibility for settlement and issues may be framed.

3. On perusal of the pleading and submissions of the parties the following issues are framed:

1. Whether the plaintiff is entitled for decree of declaration in his favour and against the defendants, as prayed for? OPP.

2. Whether the plaintiff is entitled for decree of permanent injunction in his favour and against the defendants, as prayed for? OPP.

3. Whether the plaintiff is entitled for decree of mandatory injunction in his favour and against the defendants, as prayed for? OPP.

4. Relief.

4. No other issues are pressed for or arises out of the pleadings.

5. Put up for PE on 10.06.2025.

6. Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff is directed to file evidence by way of affidavit alongwith list of witnesses within 15 days from today with advance copy to the counsel for the defendants.

Shivali Bansal
District Judge-03, Rohini Courts
North District, Delhi
24.03.2025.