

IN THE COURT OF THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, TIRUMANGALAM

Present: Thiru.P.Selvakumar, B.A., B.L.,

Judicial Magistrate, Tirumangalam.

Dated this the 29th day of May 2026

e-Cr.M.P.No.83/2026

Balamurugan,
S/o.Pounraj,

..Petitioner/Accused

/Vs/

State through the Sub Inspector of Police,
Thirumangalam Town P.S. in Cr.No.126/2026,
U/s. 316(2), 318(4) BNS

...Respondent/Complainant

Accused Remanded on 17.05.2026.

This second bail petition is coming before me on 29.05.2026 in the presence of Advocate Tr.S.M.Ramasiva, for the petitioner and Learned A.P.P. for the Respondent, After careful perusal of records and the Argument put forth by the petitioner this Court passed the following...

ORDER

Heard both the sides and Records were perused.

The counsel for the petitioner/accused argued that the accused has been falsely implicated, he has been in judicial custody from 17.05.2026 and the investigation has already been completed and prayed for bail.

The notice given to respondent police has not any reply so far. Previously, the notice given to respondent police for previous bail application e-Cr.M.P.No.69/2026, dated: 21.05.2026 taken us reply in this petition. The respondent police submitted that the investigation is pending, further stated that the accused person is released on bail, he will tamper the witnesses and objected to granting bail to the petitioner.

The bail application filed by the petitioner/accused was considered. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that despite sufficient opportunities having been granted to the police to recover the alleged jewellery said to be in the hands of the accused, no effective steps have been taken by the investigating agency. It is further submitted that even while dismissing the earlier bail application, liberty was granted to the police to secure recovery of the jewellery, however till date no substantial progress has been made. The petitioner has been in judicial custody for the past 15 days.

Considering the submissions made and on perusal of the records, it appears that despite adequate opportunity, the investigating agency has not taken effective steps for recovery of the alleged jewels. The lethargic attitude on the part of the investigating agency cannot indefinitely curtail the liberty of the accused. Continued incarceration of the petitioner without meaningful progress in investigation would certainly affect the rights of the accused and period of custody already undergone by the accused, this court considered view that the investigation is almost completed. On

considering the above and also other circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to grant bail to the accused with certain conditions. Accordingly, this bail petition is allowed on following conditions.

1) The accused shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties for a like sum each.

2) The accused shall appear and sign before this court on all working days 10.00 A.M., until further orders.

3) The accused shall co-operate for the investigation agency as and when required by the respondent police.

4) The petitioner accused shall not commit any kind of similar offence in future.

Pronounced by me in the open court this 29th day of May 2026.

Judicial Magistrate,
Thirumangalam.