

Tejbir singh VS State of Haryana etc.

Present: Sh. Rajesh Kumar Boora Advocate for the plaintiff.
Sh. Rajesh assisted by Sh. Sandeep, GP for defendant no. 1, 3 and 4.
None for defendant no. 2.

Case called several times since morning but none has appeared on behalf of defendant no. 2. Waited sufficiently. Further wait is not justified. It is already 2.30 PM. Hence, defendant no. 2 is hereby proceeded against exparte

Sh. Rajesh Block Development and Panchayat Officer Hansi-I assisted by Ld. GP for State has appeared and requested for adjournment for filing of written statement and reply to stay application on behalf of defendants no. 1, 3 and 4.

Learned counsel for the plaintiff submitted that in view of strong prima facie case, defendants be restrained from making any rasta in the middle of Khasra no. 85//22/1 (05K-10M) till filing of written statement. It is further submitted that in case if the defendants succeeds in doing so, then the plaintiff will have to suffer an irreparable loss which cannot be compensated later on at any costs. Alongwith pleadings of the plaintiff, site plan coupled with jamabandi for the year 2017-18 has been perused. In view of strong prima facie case, at this stage, the defendants are restrained from curving out passage/rasta in middle of khasra no. 85//22/1 (05K-10M) till filing of

written statement. Now to come upon 30.11.2022 for filing of written statement and reply to stay application on behalf of defendants no. 1, 3 and 4.

Date of Order: 2022-10-21
Sonia Papneja, SG-III

(Rakesh Kumar)
Civil Judge (Junior Division)
Hansi, UID NO . HR0448